arXiv:math/0109180v2 [math.CV] 19 Sep 2003

February 1, 2008

GLOBAL $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$-HOMOTOPY WITH C^k ESTIMATES FOR A FAMILY OF COMPACT, REGULAR q-PSEUDOCONCAVE CR MANIFOLDS

PETER L. POLYAKOV

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{M}_0$ be a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave compact CR submanifold of a complex manifold $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ - a holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}\big|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) = 0$ for some fixed $r < q$. We prove a global homotopy formula with C^k estimates for r-cohomology of $\mathcal{B}$ on arbitrary CR submanifold $\mathbf{M}$ close enough to $\mathbf{M}_0$.

1. Introduction.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a compact generic CR submanifold in a complex n - dimensional manifold $\mathbf{G}$, i.e. for any $z \in \mathbf{M}$ there exist a neighborhood $V \ni z$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and smooth real valued functions

$$\{\rho_k,\ k = 1, \dots, m\ (1 < m < n-1)\}$$

on V such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{M} \cap V = \{z \in \mathbf{G} \cap V : \rho_1(z) = \cdots = \rho_m(z) = 0\},\\ &\partial\rho_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial\rho_m \neq 0 \ \text{ on } \mathbf{M} \cap V. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In [P2], where our motivation was to obtain sharp estimates for the operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$, we introduced a special nonhomogeneous Lipschitz scale $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{M})$, based on the Stein's scale of spaces $\Gamma^\alpha(\mathbf{M})$ (cf. [S], [FS]), and proved an "almost homotopy" in this scale. The sharpness of estimates in the scale $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{M})$ is expressed by the fact that $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ maps $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{M})$ into $\Pi^{\alpha-1}(\mathbf{M})$ and the solution operators map $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{M})$ into $\Pi^{\alpha+1}(\mathbf{M})$.

In this paper our motivation is not the sharpness of estimates but rather stability of the global solvability of the $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$-equation on a compact CR submanifold of a complex manifold. Namely, we prove a global homotopy formula with C^k- estimates for operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ on a family of compact CR submanifolds, close to a fixed submanifold $\mathbf{M}_0$ and satisfying special concavity condition. The proof basically consists of two steps. On the first step, using a simplified version of techniques from [P2] we construct a local "almost homotopy" formula with estimates and on the second step we globalize the constructed formula with control of estimates. Estimates in Propositions 3.1 and 3.8 represent an important byproduct. They provide local "tame estimates" in terminology of R. Hamilton (cf. [Ha]) for operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ and are used in [P3] to study deformations of a fixed embedded CR structure on $\mathbf{M}_0$.

Before formulating the main result we will introduce necessary notations and definitions.

The CR structure on $\mathbf{M}$ is induced from $\mathbf{G}$ and is defined by the subbundles

$$T''(\mathbf{M}) = T''(\mathbf{G})|_{\mathbf{M}} \cap \mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M}) \quad \text{and} \quad T'(\mathbf{M}) = T'(\mathbf{G})|_{\mathbf{M}} \cap \mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M}),$$

where $\mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M})$ is the complexified tangent bundle of $\mathbf{M}$ and the subbundles $T''(\mathbf{G})$ and $T'(\mathbf{G}) = \overline{T''}(\mathbf{G})$ of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{G})$ define the complex structure on $\mathbf{G}$.

We will denote by $T^c(\mathbf{M})$ the subbundle $T(\mathbf{M}) \cap [T'(\mathbf{M}) \oplus T''(\mathbf{M})]$. If we fix a hermitian scalar product on $\mathbf{G}$ then we can choose a subbundle $N \in T(\mathbf{M})$ of real dimension m such that $T^c(\mathbf{M}) \perp N$ and for a complex subbundle $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{C}N$ of $\mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M})$ we have

$$\mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M}) = T'(\mathbf{M}) \oplus T''(\mathbf{M}) \oplus \mathbf{N}, \ \ T'(\mathbf{M}) \perp \mathbf{N} \ \text{ and } \ T''(\mathbf{M}) \perp \mathbf{N}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F20, 32F10.

Key words and phrases. CR manifold, operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$, Levi form.

The Levi form of $\mathbf{M}$ is defined as the hermitian form on $T'(\mathbf{M})$ with values in $\mathbf{N}$

$$\mathcal{L}_z(L(z)) = \sqrt{-1}\cdot\pi\left(\left[\overline{L}, L\right]\right)(z) \qquad (L(z)\in T'_z(\mathbf{M})),$$

where $\left[\overline{L}, L\right] = \overline{L}L - L\overline{L}$ and π is the projection of $\mathbf{C}T(\mathbf{M})$ along $T'(\mathbf{M})\oplus T''(\mathbf{M})$ onto N.

If functions $\{\rho_k\}$ are chosen so that the vectors $\{\text{grad}\rho_k\}$ are orthonormal then the Levi form of $\mathbf{M}$ may be defined as

$$L_z(\mathbf{M}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(L_z\rho_k(\zeta)\right)\cdot\text{grad }\rho_k(z),$$

where $L_z\rho(\zeta)$ is the Levi form of the real valued function $\rho\in C^4(\mathbf{D})$ at the point z:

$$L_z\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\zeta_i\partial\bar{\zeta}_j}(z)\ \zeta_i\cdot\bar{\zeta}_j.$$

For a pair of vectors $\mu = (\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ we will denote $\langle\mu,\nu\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i\cdot\nu_i$.

For a unit vector $\theta = (\theta_1,\dots\theta_m)\in\text{Re}\mathbf{N}_z$ we define the Levi form of $\mathbf{M}$ at the point $z\in\mathbf{M}$ in the direction θ as the scalar hermitian form on $\mathbf{C}T^c_z(\mathbf{M})$

$$\langle\theta,\ L_z(\mathbf{M})\rangle = -L_z\rho_\theta(\zeta),$$

where $\rho_\theta(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\theta_k\rho_k(\zeta)$.

Following [H2] we call $\mathbf{M}$ q-pseudoconcave at $z\in\mathbf{M}$ in the direction θ if the Levi form of $\mathbf{M}$ at z in this direction $\langle\theta,\ L_z(\mathbf{M})\rangle$ has at least q negative eigenvalues on $\mathbf{C}T^c_z(\mathbf{M})$. Correspondingly $\mathbf{M}$ is called q-pseudoconcave at $z\in\mathbf{M}$ if it is q-pseudoconcave in all directions.

We call a q-pseudoconcave CR manifold $\mathbf{M}$ a regular q-pseudoconcave CR manifold (cf. [P1]) if for any $z\in\mathbf{M}$ there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}\ni z$ in $\mathbf{M}$ and a family $E_q(\theta,z)$ of q-dimensional complex linear subspaces in $\mathbf{C}T^c_z(\mathbf{M})$ smoothly depending on $(\theta,z)\in\mathbb{S}^{m-1}\times\mathcal{U}$ and such that the Levi form $\langle\theta,\ L_z(\mathbf{M})\rangle$ is strictly negative on $E_q(\theta,z)$.

For a function f on $\mathbf{M}$ (h on $\mathbf{G}$) we denote by $|f|_k$ (respectively $|h|_k$) the $C^k(\mathbf{M})$-norm of f (respectively the $C^k(\mathbf{G})$-norm of h).

Let $\mathcal{E}_0:\mathbb{M}\to\mathbf{M}_0\subset\mathbf{G}$ be a fixed embedding of a compact C^p manifold $\mathbb{M}$ into a complex manifold $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{M}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{M})$ is a regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold of $\mathbf{G}$ and let $\{\mathcal{U}^\iota\}_1^N$ be a finite cover of some neighborhood of $\mathbf{M}_0$ in $\mathbf{G}$ such that in each $\mathcal{U}^\iota$ the manifold $\mathbf{M}_0\cap\mathcal{U}^\iota$ has the form (1) with defining functions $\left\{\rho^{(0)}_{\iota,l}\right\}_{1\le l\le m}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{M}$ into $\mathbf{G}$ close to $\mathcal{E}_0$ with $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{M})$ then the map $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\circ\mathcal{E}_0^{-1}:\mathbf{M}_0\to\mathbf{M}$ may be defined in some small enough neighborhood $U^\iota = \mathbf{M}_0\cap\mathcal{U}^\iota$ as $\mathcal{F}(z) = z + f_\iota(z)$, with $f_\iota\in[C^p(U^\iota)]^n$. If $|f|_p = \max_\iota\{|f_\iota|_p\}$ is small enough then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}:\mathbf{M}\to\mathbf{M}_0$ is also well defined and has the form $\mathcal{G}(z) = z + g_\iota(z)$ in some neighborhood $V^\iota\subset\mathcal{F}(U^\iota)$ with $g_\iota\in[C^p(V^\iota)]^n$. We denote

$$|\mathcal{E}|_p = \max_{1\le\iota\le N}\{|g_\iota|_p\}.$$

For a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave C^p submanifold $\mathbf{M}\subset\mathbf{G}$ and a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathbf{G}$ we say that $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) = 0$ for $r\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ if for any $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$-closed form $f\in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$, $(1\le k\le p)$, there exists a form $h\in C^k_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ such that $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}h = f$.

The following theorem represents the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\mathbf{M}_0\subset\mathbf{G}$ *be a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave* C^p *submanifold and let* $\mathcal{B}$ *be a holomorphic vector bundle on* $\mathbf{G}$. *Let for some fixed* $1\le r\le q-1$ *the condition*

$\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = 0$ *be satisfied. Then there exist* $C, \delta > 0$ *such that for any* C^p *embedding*

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$$

with $|\mathcal{E}|_p < \delta$ *and* $k \leq p-7$ *there exist linear bounded operators*

$$\mathbf{Q}^i_{\mathbf{M}} : C^k_{(0,i)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \to C^{k-1}_{(0,i-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \text{ for } i = r, r+1$$

such that for any differential form $h \in C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M})$ *equality:*

$$h = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) + \mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} h) \tag{2}$$

and estimates

$$\left|\mathbf{Q}^i_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right|_{k-1} \leq C|h|_k \tag{3}$$

hold.

Author thanks G. Henkin, S. Krantz and A. Tumanov for helpful discussions and the referee for pointing out a mistake in the original version of this article.

2. Construction of "almost-homotopy" formula.

As an intermediate step in the proof of Theorem 1 we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave* C^p *submanifold and let* $\{\mathcal{U}^\iota\}_1^N$ *be a finite cover of some neighborhood of* $\mathbf{M}$ *in* $\mathbf{G}$ *such that in each* $\mathcal{U}^\iota$ *the manifold* $\mathbf{M} \cap \mathcal{U}^\iota$ *has the form (1) with defining functions* $\{\rho_{\iota,l}\}_1^m$*. Then for any* $r = 1, \ldots, q-1$ *and* $k \leq p-4$ *there exist linear bounded operators*

$$\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \to C^{k+1/2}_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \to C^{k+1/2}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$$

such that for any differential form $h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ *we have equality:*

$$h = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} h) + \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) \tag{4}$$

and estimates

$$|\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_k \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}, \quad |\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_k \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}, \tag{5}$$

$$|\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_{k+1/2} \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}, \quad |\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_{k+1/2} \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}, \tag{6}$$

where $|\rho|_s = \max_{1 \leq \iota \leq N, 1 \leq l \leq m}\{|\rho_{\iota,l}|_s\}$.

Boundedness of different "solution operators" $\mathbf{R}_r : C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M}) \to C^{k+1/2-\epsilon}_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ was first proved in [AiH].

Below we introduce notations and definitions necessary for the construction of a formula.

For a vector-valued C^1-function $\eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n)$ we will use the notation:

$$\omega'(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \eta_k \wedge_{j \neq k} d\eta_j, \qquad \omega(\eta) = \wedge_{j=1}^{n} d\eta_j.$$

If $\eta = \eta(\zeta, z, t)$ is a C^1-function of $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and a real parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}^l$ satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_k(\zeta, z, t) \cdot (\zeta_k - z_k) = 1 \tag{7}$$

then

$$d\omega'(\eta) \wedge \omega(\zeta) \wedge \omega(z) = 0$$

or, separating differentials,

$$d_t\omega'(\eta) + \bar{\partial}_\zeta\omega'(\eta) + \bar{\partial}_z\omega'(\eta) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Also, if $\eta(\zeta, z, t)$ satisfies (7) then the differential form $\omega'(\eta) \wedge \omega(\zeta) \wedge \omega(z)$ can be represented as:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \omega'_r(\eta) \wedge \omega(\zeta) \wedge \omega(z), \tag{9}$$

where $\omega'_r(\eta)$ is a differential form of the order r in $d\bar{z}$ and respectively of the order $n-r-1$ in $d\bar{\zeta}$ and dt. From (8) and (9) follow equalities:

$$d_t\omega'_r(\eta) + \bar{\partial}_\zeta\omega'_r(\eta) + \bar{\partial}_z\omega'_{r-1}(\eta) = 0 \qquad (r = 1, \ldots, n), \tag{10}$$

and

$$\omega'_r(\eta) = \frac{1}{(n-r-1)!r!}\mathrm{Det}\Big[\eta,\ \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_z\eta,}^{r}\ \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_{\zeta,t}\eta}^{n-r-1}\ \Big], \tag{11}$$

where the determinant is calculated by the usual rules but with external products of elements and the position of the element in the external product is defined by the number of its column.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open neighborhood in $\mathbf{G}$ and $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$. We call a vector function

$$P(\zeta, z) = (P_1(\zeta, z), \ldots, P_n(\zeta, z)) \quad \text{for} \quad (\zeta, z) \in (\mathcal{U} \setminus U) \times U$$

by strong $\mathbf{M}$-barrier for $\mathcal{U}$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that the inequality:

$$|\Phi(\zeta, z)| > C \cdot \Big(\rho(\zeta) + |\zeta - z|^2\Big) \tag{12}$$

holds for $(\zeta, z) \in (\mathcal{U} \setminus U) \times U$, where

$$\Phi(\zeta, z) = \langle P(\zeta, z), \zeta - z\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(\zeta, z) \cdot (\zeta_i - z_i).$$

According to (1) we may assume that $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$ is a set of common zeros of smooth functions $\{\rho_k,\ \ k = 1, \ldots, m\}$. The Levi form of the function $\rho^2(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_j^2(z)$ is positive definite on the complex subspaces $\mathbf{N}_z$ for any $z \in U$. Therefore, scaling functions $\{\rho_k,\ \ k = 1, \ldots, m\}$ if necessary, and using q-pseudoconcavity of $\mathbf{M}$ for any $z \in \mathbf{M}$ we can find an open neighborhood $U \ni z$, and a family $E_{q+m}(\theta, z)$ of $q+m$ dimensional complex linear subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ smoothly depending on $(\theta, z) \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times U$ and such that

$$-\mathcal{L}_z\rho_\theta - \mathcal{L}_z\rho^2$$

is strictly negative on $E_{q+m}(\theta, z)$ with all negative eigenvalues not exceeding some $c < 0$.

For a set of functions $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \in C^p(\mathcal{U})$ we consider a family $E^\perp_{n-q-m}(\theta, z)$ of (n-q-m)-dimensional subspaces in $T(\mathbf{G})$, orthogonal to $E_{q+m}(\theta, z)$ and a set of C^p-smooth vector functions

$$a_j(\theta, z) = (a_{j1}(\theta, z), \ldots, a_{jn}(\theta, z)) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n-q-m$$

representing an orthonormal basis in $E^\perp_{n-q-m}(\theta, z)$.

Defining for $(\theta, z, w) \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n$

$$A_j(\theta, z, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ji}(\theta, z) \cdot w_i, \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n-q-m)$$

we construct the form

$$\mathcal{A}(\theta, z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-q-m} A_j(\theta, z, w) \cdot \bar{A}_j(\theta, z, w)$$

such that the hermitian form

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_z\rho_\theta(w)+\mathcal{L}_z\rho^2(w)\right)+\mathcal{A}(\theta,z,w)$$

is strictly positive definite in w for $(\theta,z)\in\mathbb{S}^{m-1}\times\mathcal{U}$.

Then we define for $\zeta,z\in(\mathcal{U}\setminus U)\times U$:

$$\theta_k(\zeta)=-\frac{\rho_k(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)}\quad\text{for } k=1,\dots,m,$$

$$Q_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z)=-\frac{\partial\rho_k}{\partial\zeta_i}(z)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\rho_k}{\partial\zeta_i\partial\zeta_j}(z)(\zeta_j-z_j)-\frac{1}{2}\theta_k(\zeta)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\rho^2}{\partial\zeta_i\partial\zeta_j}(z)(\zeta_j-z_j),$$

$$F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)=\langle Q^{(k)}(\zeta,z),\zeta-z\rangle,\tag{13}$$

$$P_i(\zeta,z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\theta_k(\zeta)\cdot Q_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-q-m}a_{ji}(\theta(\zeta),z)\cdot\bar{A}_j(\theta(\zeta),z,\zeta-z),$$

$$\Phi(\zeta,z)=\langle P(\zeta,z),\zeta-z\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\theta_k(\zeta)\cdot F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)+\mathcal{A}(\theta(\zeta),z,\zeta-z).$$

To prove that $P_i(\zeta,z)$ is a strong **M**-barrier for some $U\ni z$ we consider the Taylor expansions of ρ_k for $k=1,\dots,m$, and of ρ^2, and using that $\dfrac{\partial\rho_k^2}{\partial\zeta_i}(z)=0$ for $z\in\mathbf{M}$ obtain

$$2\mathrm{Re}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)=\rho_k(z)-\rho_k(\zeta)+\mathcal{L}_z\rho_k(\zeta-z)+O(|\zeta-z|^3)$$
$$+\theta_k(\zeta)\left[\rho^2(z)-\rho^2(\zeta)+\mathcal{L}_z\rho^2(\zeta-z)+O(|\zeta-z|^3)\right].$$

Then we obtain for some U and $(\zeta,z)\in(U\setminus(U\cap\mathbf{M}))\times(U\cap\mathbf{M})$:

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi(\zeta,z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\theta_k(\zeta)\cdot\mathrm{Re}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-q-m}A_j(\theta(\zeta),\zeta,z)\cdot\bar{A}_j(\theta(\zeta),\zeta,z)\tag{14}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(\zeta)-\rho^2(\zeta)+\mathcal{L}_z\rho_\theta(\zeta-z)+\mathcal{L}_z\rho^2(\zeta-z)\right)+\mathcal{A}(\theta(\zeta),z,\zeta-z)+O(|\zeta-z|^3),$$

which implies the existence of an open neighborhood $U\ni z$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, satisfying (12).

For $A_j(\zeta,z):=A_j(\theta(\zeta),z,\zeta-z)$ and $Q_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z)$ we have the following equalities that will be used in the estimates below

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial_\zeta\bar{A}_j(\zeta,z)&=\mu_\tau^{(j)}(\zeta,z)+\mu_\nu^{(j)}(\zeta,z),\\ \bar\partial_\zeta Q_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z)&=\chi_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z),\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where

$$\mu_\tau^{(j)}(\zeta,z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\bar{a}_{ji}(\theta(\zeta),z)d\bar\zeta_i,\qquad\mu_\nu^{(j)}(\zeta,z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\bar\zeta_i-\bar{z}_i)\bar\partial_\zeta\bar{a}_{ji}(\theta(\zeta),z),$$

and

$$\chi_i^{(k)}(\zeta,z)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\rho^2}{\partial\zeta_i\partial\zeta_j}(z)(\zeta_j-z_j)\right)\bar\partial_\zeta\theta_k(\zeta).$$

In our description of local integral formulas on $\mathbf{M}$ and in the future estimates we will also need the following notations.

We define the tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ of $\mathbf{M}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(\epsilon) = \{z \in \mathcal{U} : \rho(z) < \epsilon\},$$

where $\rho(z) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_k^2(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The boundary of $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ - $U(\epsilon)$ is defined by the condition

$$U(\epsilon) = \{z \in \mathcal{U} : \rho(z) = \epsilon\}.$$

We consider the fibration of $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ by the manifolds

$$\mathbf{M}\left(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\right) = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{U}(\epsilon) : \rho_1(\zeta) = \delta_1, \dots, \rho_m(\zeta) = \delta_m\}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{C}T\left(\mathbf{G}\right)$ the subbundle of vectors tangent to fibers $\mathbf{M}\left(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\right)$.

For a sufficiently small neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{G}$ we may assume that functions

$$\rho_k(\zeta),\ \mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta, z)\ \{k = 1, \dots, m\}$$

have a nonzero jacobian with respect to $\mathrm{Re}\zeta_{i_1}, \dots, \mathrm{Re}\zeta_{i_m},\ \mathrm{Im}\zeta_{i_1}, \dots, \mathrm{Im}\zeta_{i_m}$ for $z, \zeta \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, for any fixed $z \in \mathcal{U}$ these functions may be chosen as local C^p coordinates in ζ. We may also complement the functions above by holomorphic functions $w_j(\zeta) = u_j(\zeta) + iv_j(\zeta)$ with $j = 1, \dots, n-m$ so that the functions

$$\rho_k(\zeta),\ \mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta, z)\ \{k = 1, \dots, m\},$$
$$u_j(\zeta), v_j(\zeta)\ \{j = 1, \dots, n-m\},$$

represent a complete system of local coordinates in $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$ for any fixed $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

We consider complex valued vector fields on $\mathcal{U}$ for any fixed $z \in \mathcal{U}$:

$$Y_{i,\zeta}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{Im}F^{(i)}(\zeta, z)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$W_{i,\zeta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i},\ \overline{W}_{i,\zeta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-m,$$

and denote

$$Y_\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \theta_k(\zeta) Y_{k,\zeta}(z).$$

We also introduce a local extension operator of functions and forms from $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$ to $\mathcal{U}$

$$E : g \to E(g).$$

Assuming that locally manifold $\mathbf{M}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ with coordinates $z_j = x_j + iy_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M} = \{z \in \mathcal{U} : \rho_j(z) \equiv x_j - h_j(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}, \tag{16}$$

we define for a function $g(y_1, \dots y_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n)$ on U

$$E(g)(z_1, \dots, z_n) = g(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n),$$

extending a function identically with respect to $x_1, \dots, x_m$. For a differential form

$$g = \sum_{I,J,K} g_{I,J,K} dy_I \wedge dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K$$

with multiindices $I \in (1, \dots, m), J, K \in (m+1, \dots, n)$ we define extension operator by extending coefficients as in the formula above.

In our proof of Proposition 2.1 we will also use a special norm for functions and forms on $\left(\mathcal{U} \setminus U\right)_\zeta \times U_z$. Namely, using functions $\rho, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and coordinates $y_1, \dots, y_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n$ from (16) we define a C^p-diffeomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{U}(\epsilon) \setminus U \to (0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times U$$

by the formula

$$\Psi(\zeta) = (\rho(\zeta), \theta_1(\zeta), \ldots, \theta_m(\zeta), \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m, \zeta_{m+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n)$$

for $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$. Then for a form $h(\zeta, z)$ on $\left(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon) \setminus U\right)_\zeta \times U_z$ and $l \leq p$ we denote

$$\|g\|_l = \left| g\left(\Psi^{-1}(\rho, \theta, \eta, \zeta''), z\right)\right|_{C^l\left([0,\epsilon]\times\mathbb{S}^{m-1}\times U_\zeta\times U_z\right)},$$

with $\zeta''(\zeta) = (\zeta_{m+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n)$.

In what follows we will assume that the defining functions $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$ satisfy condition

$$\min_{\mathcal{U}} \left| \mathrm{Det}\left(\frac{\partial\rho_k}{\partial\zeta_J}\right)^{k=1,\ldots,m}_{|J|=m, J\in 1,\ldots,n} \right| > c \tag{17}$$

for some fixed $c > 0$. Then from the construction of $A_j(\zeta, z)$ and $\Phi(\zeta, z)$ we conclude that for $l \leq p - 2$ the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A_j\|_l \leq C, \\ &\left\|Q_i^{(k)}\right\|_l, \ \left\|F^{(k)}\right\|_l, \ \|\Phi\|_l \leq C \cdot (1 + |\rho|_{l+2}), \\ &\|Y_\zeta(z)\|_l \leq C\left(1 + |\rho|_{l+2}\right)^m. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

hold for some $C > 0$.

The following proposition provides local integral formula for $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a generic, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold of the class C^p and let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open neighborhood in $\mathbf{G}$ with analytic coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_n$.*

Then for $r = 1, ..., q-1$, $k \leq p$, and any differential form $g \in C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M})$ with compact support in $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$ the following equality

$$g = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} R_r(g) + R_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} g) + H_r(g), \tag{19}$$

holds, where

$$\begin{aligned} &R_r(g)(z) \\ &= (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \cdot pr_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{|\,\zeta - z\,|^2} + t\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta), \\ &H_r(g)(z) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \cdot pr_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{U(\epsilon)} E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \omega'_r\left(\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

$E(g)$ is an extension of g to $\mathcal{U}$, $\Phi(\zeta, z)$ is a local barrier for $\mathcal{U}$ constructed in (13) and $pr_{\mathbf{M}}$ denotes the operator of projection to the space of tangential differential forms on $\mathbf{M}$.

We omit the proof of Proposition 2.2 because it is completely analogous to the proof of formula (19) for another barrier function in [P1].

To construct now global formula on $\mathbf{M}$ we consider two finite coverings $\{\mathcal{U}_\iota \subset \mathcal{U}'_\iota\}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ and two partitions of unity $\{\vartheta_\iota\}$ and $\{\vartheta'_\iota\}$ subordinate to these coverings and such that $\vartheta'_\iota(z) = 1$ for $z \in \mathrm{supp}(\vartheta_\iota)$.

Applying Corollary 2.2 to the form $\vartheta_\iota g$ in $\mathcal{U}'_\iota$ we obtain

$$\vartheta_\iota(z) g(z) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z) + R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota g)(z) + H^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z).$$

Multiplying the equality above by $\vartheta'_\iota(z)$ and using equalities

$$\vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z)\right] - \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta'_\iota(z) \wedge R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z)$$

and

$$R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota g)(z) = R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota \wedge g)(z) + R^\iota_{r+1}(\vartheta_\iota\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}} g)(z)$$

we obtain

$$\vartheta_\iota(z)g(z) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{R}^\iota_r(g)(z) + \mathbf{R}^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g)(z) + \mathbf{H}^\iota_r(g)(z) \tag{20}$$

with

$$\mathbf{R}^\iota_r(g)(z) = \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}^\iota_r(g)(z) = -\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta'_\iota(z) \wedge R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z) + \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota \wedge g)(z) + \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot H^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z).$$

Adding equalities (20) for all ι we obtain

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a generic, regular q-pseudoconcave compact CR submanifold of the class* C^p.

Then for $r = 1, ..., q-1$, $k \leq p$, *and any differential form* $g \in C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M})$ *the following equality*

$$g = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(g) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g) + \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(g), \tag{21}$$

holds, where

$$\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(g)(z) = \sum_\iota \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z) \tag{22}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(g)(z) = \sum_\iota \left[-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta'_\iota(z) \wedge R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z) + \vartheta'_\iota(z)R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota \wedge g)(z) \right. \tag{23}$$
$$\left. +\vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot H^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z)\right].$$

3. Estimates for $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$.

From the construction of operator $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ we conclude that in order to prove necessary estimates for operator $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ it suffices to prove these estimates for operator R_r. In the proposition below we state necessary estimates for operator R_r.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a generic, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold of the class* C^p *in* $\mathcal{U}$ *satisfying condition (17) and let* $g \in C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M})$ $(1 \leq k \leq p-4)$ *be a form with compact support in* $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$.

Then $R_r(g)$ *defined in (19) satisfies the following estimates*

$$|R_r(g)|_k \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k\,, \tag{24}$$

$$|R_r(g)|_{k+1/2} \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k\,, \tag{25}$$

with $P(k)$ *a polynomial in* k *and a constant* $C(k)$ *independent of* g.

Proof. In our proof of Proposition 3.1 we will use the approximation of R_r by the operators

$$R_r(\epsilon)(f)(z) = (-1)^r\frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \tag{26}$$
$$\times \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} \vartheta(\zeta)E(f)(\zeta)\omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z}}{|\ \zeta - z\ |^2} + t\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta)$$

when ϵ goes to 0.

Using equalities (15) we obtain the following representation of kernels of these integrals on $\mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \times \mathbf{M}$:

$$\vartheta(\zeta) \cdot \omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z}}{|\ \zeta - z\ |^2} + t\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta)\Big|_{\mathcal{U}\times[0,1]\times\mathbf{M}} \tag{27}$$

$$= \sum_{i,J} a_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge \lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z) + \sum_{i,J} b_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge \gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z),$$

where i is an index, $J = \cup_{i=1}^{8} J_i$ is a multiindex such that $i \notin J$, $a_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)$ and $b_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)$ are polynomials in t with coefficients that are C^p-functions of z, ζ and $\theta(\zeta)$, and $\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)$ and $\gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)$ are defined as follows:

$$\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z) = \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|^{2(|J_1|+|J_5|+1)} \cdot \Phi(\zeta,z)^{n-|J_1|-|J_5|-1}} \tag{28}$$

$$\times \sum \mathrm{Det}\left[\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z},\ Q^{(i)},\ \overbrace{d\bar{\zeta}}^{j\in J_1},\ \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_\zeta a}^{j\in J_2},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\nu,\ \chi}^{j\in J_3},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\tau}^{j\in J_4},\ \overbrace{d\bar{z}}^{j\in J_5},\ \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_z a}^{j\in J_6},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\bar{\partial}_z\bar{A}}^{j\in J_7},\ \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_z Q}^{j\in J_8}\right] \wedge \omega(\zeta),$$

and

$$\gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z) = \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|^{2(|J_1|+|J_5|+1)} \cdot \Phi(\zeta,z)^{n-|J_1|-|J_5|-1}} \tag{29}$$

$$\times \sum \mathrm{Det}\left[\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z},\ a_i\bar{A}_i,\ \overbrace{d\bar{\zeta}}^{j\in J_1},\ \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_\zeta a}^{j\in J_2},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\nu,\ \chi}^{j\in J_3},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\tau}^{j\in J_4},\ \overbrace{d\bar{z}}^{j\in J_5},\ \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_z a}^{j\in J_6},\ \overbrace{a\cdot\bar{\partial}_z\bar{A}}^{j\in J_7},\ \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_z Q}^{j\in J_8}\right] \wedge \omega(\zeta).$$

We joined together terms of the form $a \cdot \mu_\nu$ and χ since according to (15) they are similar from the point of view of estimates.

In the proof of boundedness of operators $R_r : C^k_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{M}) \to C^{k+1/2}_{(0,r-1)}(\mathbf{M})$ we will use smoothness of integrals with kernels $\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)$ and $\gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)$. Smoothness of these integrals with respect to "CR tangent" and " CR normal" vector fields was investigated in [P2]. Below we describe some of the constructions from there.

We consider kernels:

$$\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z) = \frac{\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta-z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta-z|^d \cdot \Phi(\zeta,z)^h} \overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4} \overbrace{\wedge d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5} \wedge d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z),$$

where $I = \cup_{j=1}^{5} I_j$ and I_j for $j = 1, \ldots, 5$ are multiindices such that I_1 contains m indices, I_2, I_3 contain n indices, $I_4 \cup I_5$ contains $m-1$ indices, $|I_4| + |I_5| = m-1$, $\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1} = \prod_{i_s \in I_1} \rho_s(\zeta)^{i_s}$, $(\zeta - z)^{I_2} = \prod_{i_s \in I_2} (\zeta_s - z_s)^{i_s}$, $(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z})^{I_3} = \prod_{i_s \in I_3} (\bar{\zeta}_s - \bar{z}_s)^{i_s}$, and

$$d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{m} d_\zeta \mathrm{Im} F^{(k)}(\zeta,z) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-m} \left(dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i\right).$$

For kernels $\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}$ we use the following notation

$$k\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right) = d - |I_2| - |I_3|,$$

$$h\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right) = h,$$

$$l\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right) = |I_1| + |I_4|.$$

The lemma below is a refinement of Lemma 3.2 from [P2] for C^k forms and C^p vector fields.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a compact, generic, regular q-pseudoconcave C^p submanifold, $\mathcal{U}$ and $U = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbf{M}$ be neighborhoods such that (17) is satisfied for some fixed $c > 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$ and let $\Phi(\zeta,z)$ be as in (13). Let $g(\zeta,z,\theta,t)$ be a C^l form $(1 \leq l \leq p-3)$ with compact support in*

$\mathcal{U}_\zeta \times \mathcal{U}_z \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times [0,1]$.

Then for $g(\zeta, z, t) = g(\zeta, z, \theta(\zeta), t)$ *and a* C^p *vector field*

$$D_z = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T})$$

such that $\|D_z\|_p \leq 1$ *the following equality holds*

$$D_z\left(\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta, z, t) \cdot \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt\right) \tag{30}$$

$$= \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} [D_z g(\zeta, z, t)] \cdot \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt + \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} [D_\zeta g(\zeta, z, t)] \cdot \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt$$
$$+ \sum_{S,a,b} \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} c_{\{S,a,b\}}(\zeta, z, t) \cdot g(\zeta, z, t) \cdot \mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta, z)dt$$
$$+ \sum_{L,i} \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} c_{\{L,i\}}(\zeta, z, t) \cdot [Y_\zeta(z) g(\zeta, z, t)] \cdot \mathcal{K}^L_{i,h}(\zeta, z)dt,$$

where vector field D_ζ *is defined as*

$$D_\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j},$$

$$\left\|c_{\{S,a,b\}}\right\|_l, \left\|c_{\{L,i,e,k\}}\right\|_l \leq C\left(1 + |\rho|_{l+3}\right)^{3m}, \tag{31}$$

with some $C > 0$ *and kernels* $\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}$ *and* $\mathcal{K}^L_{i,h}$ *satisfy the following conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} k\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) + b - l\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) &\leq k\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right) + h - l\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right), \\ k\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) + 2b - 2l\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) &\leq k\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right) + 2h - 2l\left(\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. To prove the lemma we represent the integral from the left hand side of (30) as

$$D_z\left(\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta, z, t) \cdot \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt\right) \tag{33}$$

$$= \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} [D_z g(\zeta, z, t)] \cdot \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt - \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta, z, t)\left[D_\zeta \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)\right]dt$$
$$+ \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta, z, t)\left[(D_z + D_\zeta)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)\right]dt.$$

To transform the second term of the right hand side of (33) we apply integration by parts and obtain

$$\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta, z, t)\left[D_\zeta \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)\right]dt = -\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} [D_\zeta g(\zeta, z, t)]\,\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z)dt$$
$$+ \sum_{S,a,b} \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} c_{\{S,a,b\}}(\zeta, z, t) \cdot g(\zeta, z, t) \cdot \mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta, z)dt,$$

with $\left\|c_{\{S,a,b\}}\right\|_l \leq 1$ and kernels $\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}$ satisfying (32).

To transform the third term of the right hand side of (33) we will use the formulas below that follow from the definitions of $F^{(k)}(\zeta, z)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\zeta, z)$, estimates (18) and from the fact that $D_z \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathcal{A}(\zeta, z) = \textstyle\sum_{l_i+l_j=2} \alpha_{ij}^{l_i l_j}(\zeta, z, t)(\zeta_i - z_i)^{l_i}(\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j)^{l_j},\\
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Re}F^{(k)}(\zeta, z) = \textstyle\sum_{l_i+l_j=2} \beta_{ij}^{l_i l_j}(\zeta, z, t)(\zeta_i - z_i)^{l_i}(\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j)^{l_j},\\
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta, z) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\zeta, z, t)(\zeta_i - z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\beta}_i(\zeta, z, t)(\bar{\zeta}_i - \bar{z}_i),\\
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,(\zeta_j - z_j) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^1(\zeta, z, t)(\zeta_i - z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_i^1(\zeta, z, t)(\bar{\zeta}_i - \bar{z}_i),\\
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,(\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2(\zeta, z, t)(\zeta_i - z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_i^2(\zeta, z, t)(\bar{\zeta}_i - \bar{z}_i),
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

with

$$\max\left\{\left\|\alpha_{ij}^{k_i k_j}\right\|_l,\ \left\|\alpha_i^k\right\|_l,\ \left\|\bar{\alpha}_i^k\right\|_l\right\} \leq C, \text{ and } \max\left\{\left\|\beta_{ij}^{k_i k_j}\right\|_l,\ \|\beta_i\|_l,\ \|\bar{\beta}_i\|_l\right\} \leq C \cdot (1 + |\rho|_{l+3})$$

for some $C > 0$.

Applying operators D_z and D_ζ to $\mathcal{K}_{d,h}^I(\zeta, z)$ and using formulas (34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathcal{K}_{d,h}^I(\zeta, z) \\
&= (-h)\frac{\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta - z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta - z|^d \cdot \Phi(\zeta, z)^{h+1}} \Bigg([(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathcal{A}] \overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4} \wedge \overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5} \wedge d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta, z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k(\zeta) \cdot \left[(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Re}F^{(k)}\right] \overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4} \wedge \overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5} \wedge d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta, z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k(\zeta) \cdot \left[(D_z + D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}\right] \overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4} \wedge \overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5} \wedge d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta, z) \Bigg) \\
&\quad + \sum_{S,a,b} c_{\{S,a,b\}}(\zeta, z, t) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{a,b}^S(\zeta, z)
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

with $\left\|c_{\{S,a,b\}}\right\|_l \leq C$ and kernels $\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^S$ satisfying (32).

From estimates (34) we conclude that the first two terms of the right hand side of (35) can be represented as linear combinations with coefficients satisfying $\left\|c_{\{S,a,b\}}\right\|_l \leq C\,(1 + |\rho|_{l+3})$ of kernels $\mathcal{K}_{d,h+1}^S$ with

$$|S_2| + |S_3| = |I_2| + |I_3| + 2,$$

or

$$k\left(\mathcal{K}_{d,h+1}^S\right) \leq k\left(\mathcal{K}_{d,h+1}^I\right) - 2,$$

and, therefore, satisfying (32).

Considering equality

$$d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta, z) = c(\zeta, z) \bigwedge_{j=1}^m d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}F^{(j)}(\zeta, z) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-m} (dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|c\|_0 > C_1,\\
&\|c\|_l \leq C_2\,(1 + |\rho|_{l+2})^m,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and defining

$$d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}\Phi(\zeta,z) \lrcorner\, d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z) = c(\zeta,z)\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k-1}\theta_k(\zeta)\bigwedge_{j\neq k} d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}F^{(j)}(\zeta,z)\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-m}(dw_i\wedge d\bar{w}_i)\right],$$

we represent the third term of the right hand side of (35) as

$$(\pm 1)\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_k(\zeta)\cdot\left[(D_z+D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)\right]\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta-z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta-z|^d}\cdot\frac{1}{c(\zeta,z)}$$

$$\times d_\zeta\left[\frac{(d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}\Phi(\zeta,z)\lrcorner\, d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z))\wedge\overbrace{d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4}\wedge\overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5}}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^h}\right]$$

$$+\sum_{S,a,b}c_{\{S,a,b\}}(\zeta,z,t)\cdot\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)$$

with $\left\|c_{\{S,a,b\}}\right\|_l \leq C\left(1+|\rho|_{l+3}\right)^{2m}$ and kernels $\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}$ satisfying (32).

Applying integration by parts to corresponding integrals, using third formula from (34), estimate (18) for $\|Y_\zeta(z)\|$, and estimate (36) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}g(\zeta,z,t)\cdot\frac{\theta_k(\zeta)\cdot\left[(D_z+D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)\right]\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta-z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta-z|^d}\cdot\frac{1}{c(\zeta,z)} \quad (37)$$

$$\times d_\zeta\left[\frac{(d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}\Phi(\zeta,z)\lrcorner\, d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z))\overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4}\wedge\overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5}}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^h}\right]$$

$$=-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}\theta_k(\zeta)g(\zeta,z,t)\cdot Y_\zeta(z)\left[\frac{\left[(D_z+D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)\right]\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta-z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta-z|^d c(\zeta,z)}\right]$$

$$\times\frac{(d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}\Phi(\zeta,z)\lrcorner\, d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z))\wedge\overbrace{d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4}\wedge\overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5}}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^h}$$

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}\theta_k(\zeta)\left[Y_\zeta(z)g(\zeta,z,t)\right]\cdot\frac{\left[(D_z+D_\zeta)\,\mathrm{Im}F^{(k)}(\zeta,z)\right]\{\rho(\zeta)\}^{I_1}(\zeta-z)^{I_2}(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z})^{I_3}}{|\zeta-z|^d c(\zeta,z)}$$

$$\times\frac{(d_\zeta \mathrm{Im}\Phi(\zeta,z)\lrcorner\, d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z))\overbrace{\wedge d\rho_i}^{i\in I_4}\wedge\overbrace{d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{i\in I_5}}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^h}$$

$$=\sum_{S,a}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}c_{\{S,a\}}(\zeta,z,t)\cdot g(\zeta,z,t)\cdot\mathcal{K}^S_{a,h}(\zeta,z)dt$$

$$+\sum_{L,i}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}c_{\{L,i\}}(\zeta,z,t)\cdot\left[Y_\zeta(z)g(\zeta,z,t)\right]\cdot\mathcal{K}^L_{i,h}(\zeta,z)dt$$

with $\left\|c_{\{S,a\}}\right\|_l < C\left(1+|\rho|_{l+3}\right)^{3m}$, $\left\|c_{\{L,i\}}\right\|_l < C\left(1+|\rho|_{l+3}\right)^{m}$ and kernels satisfying (32).

Combining now formulas (33), (35) and (37) we obtain statement of the Lemma. □

Using now Lemma 3.2 we reduce the statement of Proposition 3.1 to the case $k = 0$. In this reduction we will need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a generic CR submanifold of class* C^p *in the unit ball* $\mathbf{B}^n$ *in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *of the form:*

$$\mathbf{M} = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^n : \rho_1(\zeta) = \cdots = \rho_m(\zeta) = 0\},$$

where $\{\rho_k\},\ k = 1, \dots, m\ (m < n)$ *are real valued functions of the class* C^p *satisfying conditions (17) on* $\mathbf{B}^n$ *for some* $c > 0$.

Then for any point $\zeta_0 \in \mathbf{M}$ *there exists a neighborhood* $\mathbf{V}_\epsilon(\zeta_0) = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \zeta_0| < \epsilon\}$ *such that for any* $l > n - m$ *the following representation holds in* $\mathbf{V}_\epsilon$ *:*

$$d\bar\zeta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar\zeta_{i_l} \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_n = \sum d\rho_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\rho_{j_{l-n+m}} \wedge g^{i_1 \dots i_l}_{j_1 \dots j_{l-n+m}}(\zeta) \tag{38}$$

with $\left| g^{i_1 \dots i_l}_{j_1 \dots j_{l-n+m}} \right|_p \le C$.

□

According to (26) and (27) in order to prove the statement of the Proposition 3.1 it suffices to prove the estimates

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} a_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)\right|_k \le C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\,,\\ \left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} b_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)\right|_k \le C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\end{gathered} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} a_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)\right|_{k+1/2} \le C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\,,\\ \left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} b_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z)\right|_{k+1/2} \le C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\end{gathered} \tag{40}$$

with constants $C(k)$ and $P(k)$ independent of g and ϵ.

Using formulas (15) and estimates (18) for the terms of determinants in (28) and (29) and applying Lemma 3.3 to the differential form

$$\overbrace{d\bar\zeta}^{|J_1|+r} \wedge \overbrace{\mu_\tau}^{|J_4|} \wedge \omega(\zeta)$$

we obtain representations

$$a_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z) = \sum_{\{I,d,j\}} c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t) E(g)(\zeta) \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z), \tag{41}$$

and

$$b_{(i,J)}(t,\zeta,z)dt \wedge E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}(\zeta,z) = \sum_{\{I,d,j\}} c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t) E(g)(\zeta) \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z) \tag{42}$$

with coefficients $c_{\{I,d,j\}}$ satisfying

$$\left\| c_{\{I,d,j\}} \right\|_k \le C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^n \tag{43}$$

for $k \leq p-3$.

Multiindices I_i for $i=1,\ldots,5$ and indices d,h in (41) satisfy conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &d=2(|J_1|+|J_5|+1),\\ &h=n-|J_1|-|J_5|-1,\\ &|I_2|+|I_3|=1+|J_2|+|J_3|+|J_6|,\\ &|I_4|=|J_1|+|J_4|+r+m-n. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Multiindices I_i for $i=1,\ldots,5$ and indices d,h in (42) satisfy conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &d=2(|J_1|+|J_5|+1),\\ &h=n-|J_1|-|J_5|-1,\\ &|I_2|+|I_3|=2+|J_2|+|J_3|+|J_6|,\\ &|I_4|=|J_1|+|J_4|+r+m-n. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Using representations (41) and (42) we reduce the problem of proving (40) to each term

$$E(g)(\zeta)\cdot c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)$$

of the right hand sides of these representations.

In the lemma below we reduce the proof of (39) and (40) to the case $k=0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Statement of Proposition 3.1 follows from the corresponding statement for $k=0$. Namely, for the proof of estimates (39) and (40) it suffices to prove that for a function $h(\zeta,z,t)$ on $\mathcal{U}_\zeta\times U_z\times[0,1]$ and kernels $\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}$, obtained from $\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}$ and $\gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}$ after application of Lemma 3.2 the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} h(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_0 \leq C|h|_0,\\ &\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} h(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_{1/2} \leq C|h|_{1,z}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $|h|_{1,z}=\sup_{\zeta,t}|h(\zeta,\cdot,t)|_1$.

Proof. In order to prove Proposition 3.1 we have to prove that for any set of vector fields $D_1,\ldots,D_k\in C^p(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{T})$ such that $|D_i|_p\leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|D_1\circ\cdots\circ D_k\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta)\cdot c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_0 \leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k,\\ &\left|D_1\circ\cdots\circ D_k\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta)\cdot c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_{1/2} \leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

with constants $C(k)$ and $P(k)$ independent of g and ϵ.

We apply operator

$$\mathcal{D}=D_1\circ\cdots\circ D_k$$

to integral

$$\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta)\cdot c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt$$

using Lemma 3.2.

Then we obtain representation

$$\mathcal{D}\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta)\cdot c_{\{I,d,j\}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt \tag{48}$$

$$= \sum_{\|R\|\leq k} \sum_{\{R,S,a,b\}} \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} c_{\{R,S,a,b\}}(\zeta,z,t) \cdot \Big[\{Y_\zeta(z), D_\zeta\}^R E(g)(\zeta)\Big] \cdot \mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt,$$

where $R = (r_1, r_2)$, $\{Y_\zeta(z), D_\zeta\}^R$ denotes a composition of r_1 differentiations $Y_\zeta(z)$ and r_2 differentiations $D_{i,\zeta}$ with $\|R\| = r_1 + r_2$,

$$\left\|c_{\{R,S,a,b\}}\right\|_0 \leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}, \left\|c_{\{R,S,a,b\}}\right\|_1 \leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}, \tag{49}$$

and kernels $\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}$ satisfy (32).

Applying then (46) to each term of the right hand side of (48) and using estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\{Y_\zeta(z), D_\zeta\}^R E(g)\right|_0 &\leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\,, \\ \left|\{Y_\zeta(z), D_\zeta\}^R E(g)\right|_{1,z} &\leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)} |g|_k\,, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

we obtain (47). □

The following lemma which is a part of Lemma 3.5 from [P2] will be used in the proof of (46).

Lemma 3.5. *Let*

$$\mathbf{B}(\delta) = \{(\eta,w) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m} : \sum_{i=1}^m \eta_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} |w|^2 < \delta\},$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\delta) = \{(\eta,w) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m} : |\eta_1| + \sum_{i=2}^m |\eta_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} |w|^2 < \delta^2\},$$

$$K\{k,h\}(\eta,w,\epsilon)$$

$$= \frac{\wedge_{i=1}^m d\eta_i \wedge_{i=1}^{n-m} (dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i)}{(\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^m |\eta_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} |w_i|)^k (\sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{|\eta_1|} + \sum_{i=2}^m |\eta_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} |w_i|)^{2h}},$$

with $k, 2h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{I}_1\{k,h\}(\epsilon,\delta) = \int_{\mathbf{V}(\delta)} K\{k,h\}(\eta,w,\epsilon),$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_2\{k,h\}(\epsilon,\delta) = \int_{\mathbf{B}(1)\setminus\mathbf{V}(\delta)} K\{k,h\}(\eta,w,\epsilon).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{I}_1\{k,h\}(\epsilon,\delta)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{2n-m-k-h} \cdot (\log\epsilon)^2\right) & \textit{if } k \geq 2n-m-1 \textit{ and } k+h \geq 2n-m, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \textit{if } k \geq 2n-m-1 \textit{ and } k+h \leq 2n-m-1, \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{(2n-m-k-2h+1)/2} \cdot \log\epsilon\right) & \textit{if } k \leq 2n-m-2 \textit{ and } k+2h \geq 2n-m+1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \textit{if } k \leq 2n-m-2 \textit{ and } k+2h \leq 2n-m, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2\{k,h\}(\epsilon,\delta) = \mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{-1}\right) \quad \textit{if} \quad \begin{cases} k \geq 2n-m-1 \textit{ and } k+h \leq 2n-m, \\ k \leq 2n-m-2 \textit{ and } k+2h \leq 2n-m+2, \end{cases}$$

We will prove estimate (46) as a corollary of the lemma below.

Lemma 3.6. *Let g be a function with compact support in $\mathcal{U}_\zeta \times U_z \times [0,1]$ such that $|g|_{1,z} < \infty$ and let $\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}$ satisfy conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} &k\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) + b - l\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) \leq 2n - m - 2,\\ &k\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) + 2b - 2l\left(\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}\right) \leq 2n - m. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Then

$$f_\epsilon(z) := \left(\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\right) \in C^{1/2}(U)$$

and

$$|f_\epsilon|_0 \leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P |g|_0\,, \quad |f_\epsilon|_{1/2} \leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P |g|_{1,z} \tag{52}$$

with C independent of g and ϵ.

Proof. To prove inclusion

$$f_\epsilon \in C^{1/2}(U)$$

we consider for $w \in U$ and arbitrary $\delta > 0$ neighborhoods

$$W(w,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta}) = \left\{\zeta \in U : \rho(\zeta) = \epsilon, \quad |\Phi(\zeta,w)| \leq C\cdot\delta\right\},$$

such that for $|z-w| \leq \delta$

$$W(w,\epsilon,c\sqrt{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})$$

with constants $c, C > 0$ independent of w, z and δ.

Then we represent $f_\epsilon(z)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f_\epsilon(z) = &\int_{\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt \\ &+ \int_{\left(U(\epsilon)\setminus W(w,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\right)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Applying then formula

$$d\rho_i|_{U(\epsilon)} = \epsilon d\theta_i$$

and Lemma 3.5 we obtain for the first term of the right hand side of (53)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\right| \\ &\leq C|g|_0\cdot\left(\epsilon^{l(\mathcal{K})}\cdot\int_{\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\times[0,1]} \frac{\overbrace{\wedge_i d\theta_i(\zeta)}^{m-1}\wedge d\sigma_{2n-m}(\zeta,z)}{|\zeta-z|^{k(\mathcal{K})}\cdot|\Phi(\zeta,z)|^{h(\mathcal{K})}}\right) \qquad (54)\\ &\leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P |g|_0\left(\epsilon^{l(\mathcal{K})}\cdot\mathcal{I}_1\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),h(\mathcal{K})\right\}\left(\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta}\right)\right) \leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P |g|_0\cdot\delta^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument proves the first estimate from (52).

For the second term of the right hand side of (53) using the estimate

$$\left|F^{(k)}(\zeta,z) - F^{(k)}(\zeta,w)\right| \leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)\delta \tag{55}$$

for z, w such that $|z-w| < \delta$ and Lemma 3.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{\left(U(\epsilon)\setminus\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\right)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z)dt - \int_{\left(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)\setminus\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\right)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,w,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,w)dt\right| \\ &\leq \left|\int_{\left(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)\setminus\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\right)\times[0,1]} g(\zeta,z,t)\left[\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,z) - \mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,w)\right]dt\right| \end{aligned}$$

$$+\left|\int_{\left(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)\setminus\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta})\right)\times[0,1]}\left[g(\zeta,z,t)-g(\zeta,w,t)\right]\mathcal{K}^S_{a,b}(\zeta,w)dt\right|$$
$$\leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P|g|_0\delta\cdot\left[\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K})+1,b-l(\mathcal{K})\right\}\left(\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta}\right)+\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),b-l(\mathcal{K})+1\right\}\left(\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta}\right)\right]$$
$$+C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P|g|_{1,z}\delta\cdot\left[\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),b-l(\mathcal{K})\right\}\left(\epsilon,\sqrt{\delta}\right)\right]$$
$$\leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P|g|_{1,z}\delta^{1/2}.$$

Representation (53) together with the estimates above show that

$$|f_\epsilon|_{1/2}\leq C\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P|g|_{1,z}$$

uniformly with respect to ϵ.

To prove the first estimate from (52) we use estimate (54) for $\mathcal{W}(z,\epsilon,1)$. □

In order to complete the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have to prove applicability of Lemma 3.6 to the kernels obtained from $\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}$ and $\gamma^{i,J}_{r-1}$ after applications of Lemma 3.2. We will achieve this goal by proving relations (51) for these kernels. According to Lemma 3.2 expressions in the left hand sides of these relations don't increase under transformations from this lemma. Therefore it suffices to prove relations (51) for the original kernels $\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)$ satisfying conditions (44) and (45).

Second condition from (51) is always satisfied for the indices satisfying (44) as can be seen from the inequality

$$k(\mathcal{K})+2h(\mathcal{K})-2l(\mathcal{K})\leq 2n-m-|J_6|\leq 2n-m, \tag{56}$$

where we used relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4}|J_i|=n-r-1,$$
$$|J_2|+|J_3|\leq m-1,$$

for the multiindices of $\lambda^{i,J}_{r-1}$.

The same arguments show that condition (56) is also satisfied for the indices defined by (45).

First condition from (51) is not satisfied for all kernels $\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)$. But in the lemma below we show that if this condition is not satisfied then the corresponding term of the integral formula for $R_r(\epsilon)$ does not survive under the limit when $\epsilon\to 0$.

Lemma 3.7. *If* $k(\mathcal{K}),h(\mathcal{K}),l(\mathcal{K})\in\mathbb{Z}$ *and*

$$k(\mathcal{K})+h(\mathcal{K})-l(\mathcal{K})\geq 2n-m-1$$

then

$$\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}E(g)(\zeta)c(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_k\leq C(k)(\sqrt{\epsilon}\cdot\log\epsilon)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k. \tag{57}$$

Proof. Under every application of Lemma 3.2 the quantity

$$k(\mathcal{K})+h(\mathcal{K})-l(\mathcal{K})$$

doesn't increase, therefore using representation (48) and estimates (49) and (50) from Lemma 3.4 we reduce the statement of the lemma to the case $k=0$:

$$\left|\int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]}E(g)(\zeta)c(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_0\leq C(\sqrt{\epsilon}\cdot\log\epsilon)\left(1+|\rho|_3\right)^P|g|_0. \tag{58}$$

To prove estimate (58) we use inequality

$$2n - m + l(\mathcal{K}) - k(\mathcal{K}) - h(\mathcal{K}) = n - |J_1| - |J_5| + |J_6| - 1 \geq 1, \tag{59}$$

which is a corollary of definitions of $k(\mathcal{K})$, $h(\mathcal{K})$ and $l(\mathcal{K})$, equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} |J_i| = n - r - 1$$

and inequality

$$n - 1 - |J_1| - |J_5| \geq 1.$$

From the condition of the lemma and inequality (59) we obtain

$$k(\mathcal{K}) + h(\mathcal{K}) - l(\mathcal{K}) = 2n - m - 1$$

and

$$n - |J_1| - |J_5| - 1 = 1,$$

which leads to

$$|J_1| = n - r - 1, \quad |J_3| = 0, \quad |J_4| = 0, \quad |J_5| = r - 1,$$

and

$$l(\mathcal{K}) \geq |J_1| + |J_4| + r + m - n = m - 1 \geq 1.$$

Using Lemma 3.5 to estimate the integral in the left hand side of (58) we obtain

$$\left| \int_{U(\epsilon)\times[0,1]} E(g)(\zeta) c(\zeta, z, t) \mathcal{K}^I_{d,h}(\zeta, z) dt \right|_0$$
$$\leq |g|_0 \cdot \epsilon^{l(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{I}_1 \left\{k(\mathcal{K}), h(\mathcal{K}), 0\right\}(\epsilon, 1)\right)$$
$$\leq |g|_0 \cdot \begin{cases} \epsilon^{l(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{2n-m-k(\mathcal{K})-h(\mathcal{K})} \cdot (\log\epsilon)^2\right) & \text{if } k(\mathcal{K}) \geq 2n - m - 1, \\ \epsilon^{l(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{(2n-m-k(\mathcal{K})-2h(\mathcal{K})+1)/2} \cdot \log\epsilon\right) & \text{if } k(\mathcal{K}) \leq 2n - m - 2. \end{cases}$$

Using then inequality (59) in the first subcase of the above and inequality (56) in the second subcase we obtain estimate (58). □

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

In the proposition below we refine estimate (24) for a special case $k \ll p$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a generic, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold of the class* C^p *in* $\mathcal{U}$ *satisfying condition (17). Let* $s, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *be such that* $s \leq k$ *and* $k + s \leq p - 3$.

Then $R_r(g)$ *defined in (19) satisfies the estimate*

$$|R_r(g)|_{k+s} \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)} \left[|g|_{k+s} + \left(1 + |\rho|_{k+s+3}\right)|g|_k\right], \tag{60}$$

with $P(k)$ *a polynomial in* k *and a constant* $C(k)$ *independent of* g.

Proof. Proof of estimate (60) is analogous to the proof of (24). Namely, we inductively use Lemma 3.2 and reduce the statement of the Proposition to the estimate (46). The only difference is that we consider separately two groups of terms: with derivatives of g of order higher that k and the rest. For the terms with derivatives of g of higher order, derivatives of functions $c_{\{S,a,b\}}$ and $c_{\{L,i,e,k\}}$, appearing in the Lemma 3.2 will be of the order lower than k. Therefore, using estimate (31) and estimate (52) from Lemma 3.6, we obtain that these terms are dominated by the first term of the right hand side of (60).

For the terms from the second group we have to estimate the derivatives of functions $c_{\{S,a,b\}}$ and $c_{\{L,i,e,k\}}$ of the higher order but derivatives of g wiil be of the order, less or equal to k.

Using estimates (31) and (52) we obtain the second term of the right hand side of (60).

Lemma 3.7 assures that only the terms with "good" indices have to be estimated. ☐

To complete the proof of Proposition 2.1 we have to prove estimates (5) and (6) for operator $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$. From the definition of operator $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ we conclude that it suffices to prove these estimates for each of the terms below

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta'_\iota(z) \wedge R^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z), \quad \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot R^\iota_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_\iota \wedge g)(z) \ \text{ and } \ \vartheta'_\iota(z) \cdot H^\iota_r(\vartheta_\iota g)(z).$$

Estimates of the first two of these terms follow from the corresponding estimates of operators R_r proved in Proposition 3.1. The proposition below takes care of the third term of $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $r < q$. Then*

$$H_r(g)(z) = 0. \tag{61}$$

Proof. Using approximation of H_r by the operators

$$H_r(\epsilon)(g)(z) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \cdot \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \int_{U(\epsilon)} \vartheta(\zeta) E(g)(\zeta) \wedge \omega'_r\left(\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta)$$

we conclude that it suffices to prove equality

$$\omega'_r\left(\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta) = 0 \tag{62}$$

for $r < q$.

This kernel with the use of (15) may be represented on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega'_r\left(\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta)\Big|_{\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \sum_{i,J} a_{(i,J)}(\zeta,z) \wedge \widetilde{\phi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z) + \sum_{i,J} b_{(i,J)}(\zeta,z) \wedge \widetilde{\psi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z), \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where i is an index, $J = \cup_{i=1}^6 J_i$ is a multiindex such that $i \notin J$, $a_{(i,J)}(\zeta,z)$ and $b_{(i,J)}(\zeta,z)$ are C^p-functions of z, ζ and $\theta(\zeta)$, and $\widetilde{\phi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z)$ are defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{\phi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^n} \times \mathrm{Det}\left[Q^{(i)}, \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_\zeta a}^{j\in J_1}, \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\nu,\, \chi}^{j\in J_2}, \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\tau}^{j\in J_3}, \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_z a}^{j\in J_4}, \overbrace{a\cdot\bar{\partial}_z\bar{A}}^{j\in J_5}, \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_z Q}^{j\in J_6}\right] \wedge \omega(\zeta) \tag{64}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\psi}^{i,J}_r(\zeta,z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(\zeta,z)^n} \times \mathrm{Det}\left[a_i\bar{A}_i, \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_\zeta a}^{j\in J_1}, \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\nu,\, \chi}^{j\in J_2}, \overbrace{a\cdot\mu_\tau}^{j\in J_3}, \overbrace{\bar{A}\cdot\bar{\partial}_z a}^{j\in J_4}, \overbrace{a\cdot\bar{\partial}_z\bar{A}}^{j\in J_5}, \overbrace{\bar{\partial}_z Q}^{j\in J_6}\right] \wedge \omega(\zeta). \tag{65}$$

Multiindices of $\widetilde{\phi}^{i,J}_r$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^{i,J}_r$ satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{gathered} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^3 |J_i| = n-r-1, \\ |J_1| + |J_2| \leq m-1, \end{gathered} \tag{66}$$

therefore, if $r < q$ then

$$|J_3| = n - r - 1 - |J_1| - |J_2| \geq n - r - m > n - q - m,$$

which is impossible. ☐

4. HOMOTOPY FORMULA.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on a transformation of operators $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$, $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}$ on manifolds $\mathbf{M}$ close to a fixed manifold $\mathbf{M}_0$ such that $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = 0$. We start with the construction of a homotopy formula on $\mathbf{M}_0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{M}_0 \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave submanifold of the class* C^p *and let* $\mathcal{B}$ *be a holomorphic vector bundle on* $\mathbf{G}$*. Let for some* $r < q$ *equality* $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = 0$ *be satisfied. Then for* $k \le p-4$ *there exist* $s, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$*, linear continuous functionals* $\{\alpha_j\}_1^s$ *on* $C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$*, linear continuous functionals* $\{\beta_j\}_1^l$ *on* $C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$*, collections* $\{g_j\}_1^s \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ *and* $\{f_j\}_1^l \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$*, and operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(u) &= \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(u - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}u)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i(u)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i(u)g_i, \\ \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(v) &= \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(v - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i(v)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}f_i\right) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i(v)f_i, \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

such that

$$\left|\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right|_k, \left|\mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right|_k \le C(k), \tag{68}$$

and operator $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ *defines an isomorphism on* $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$*.*

Proof. We consider the Fredholm operator from (4)

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \to C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$$

and construct a subspace $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ of finite codimension in $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ such that the restriction of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ is invertible. To construct such a subspace we will use the lemma below.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $A : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ *be a Fredholm operator on a Banach space* $\mathcal{B}$ *of the form* $A = I - H$ *with compact* H*. Then the sequence of subspaces*

$$\cdots \supseteq Ker(A^n) \supseteq Ker\left(A^{n-1}\right) \cdots \supseteq Ker A \tag{69}$$

stabilizes on a finite step.

Proof. Let us assume that sequence (69) doesn't stabilize on a finite step. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|x_n\| = 1, \quad x_n \in K_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}\,(x_n, K_{n-1}) > \delta,$$

where $K_n = \text{Ker}\,(A^n)$ are finite-dimensional subspaces in $\mathcal{B}$.

Using then Hahn-Banach theorem we can construct a sequence of linear continuous functionals $\{l_n\}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|l_n\| \le 1/\delta, \quad l_n(x_n) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad l_n(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in K_{n-1}. \tag{70}$$

Since H is compact we can assume that sequence $\{H(x_n)\}$ converges in $\mathcal{B}$, and therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|H(x_n - x_{n-1})\| = 0$.

Using then the estimate

$$|l_n\left(H(x_n - x_{n-1})\right)| \le \|l_n\| \cdot \|H(x_n - x_{n-1})\|$$

we obtain that $|l_n\left(H(x_n-x_{n-1})\right)|\to 0$ when $n\to\infty$.

But on the other hand

$$l_n\left(H(x_n-x_{n-1})\right)=l_n\left(x_n-A(x_n)-x_{n-1}+A(x_{n-1})\right)=l_n\left(x_n\right)=1,$$

where we used properties (70) and

$$A\left(K_n\right)\subseteq K_{n-1},\quad A\left(K_{n-1}\right)\subseteq K_{n-1}.$$

Obtained contradiction proves the lemma. □

Applying Lemma 4.2 to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ on $C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ we find n_0 such that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0+i}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\ \text{ for } i\geq 1$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)=\emptyset$$

for the restriction of $\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to $C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$, and hence on any $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ with $1\leq k\leq p-4$.

Using the estimates for operator $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we conclude that the restriction of the identity operator to $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ is smoothing from $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ to $C^{k+1/2}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ for any $k\leq p-4$. To prove higher smoothness of the elements of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ we use the following interpolation result, which follows from [Kr], (cf. [LP]).

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $0<\epsilon<1/2$ *and let*

$$L: C^\infty_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\to C^\infty_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$$

be a linear operator, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}|L(f)|_{k+1/2}&\leq C\cdot|f|_{k+\epsilon},\\ |L(f)|_{k+3/2}&\leq C\cdot|f|_{k+1+\epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

for $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Then for $0\leq\alpha\leq 1$ *the estimate*

$$|L(f)|_{k+\alpha+1/2}\leq C\cdot|f|_{k+\alpha+\epsilon}$$

holds.

□

Starting with $C^{k+1/2}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ and consequtively $2(p-k-4)$ times applying Proposition 4.3 for small enough ϵ we obtain that the identity operator on $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ is smoothing from $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ to $C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$. Defining then for $k\leq p-4$

$$\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}=\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\right]\ \text{ and }\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\cap C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M},\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$$

we obtain that for $k\leq p-4$

(i) $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)=\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\oplus\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$,
(ii) $\dim\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}<\infty$,
(iii) restriction of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ is an isomorphism.

Using condition $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = 0$ we can find finitely many forms $g_1, \ldots, g_s$ and construct a finite basis

$$\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_1, \ldots, \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_s, f_1, \ldots, f_l\right\}$$

of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ with $\{g_j\}_1^s \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ and $\{f_j\}_1^l \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left\{h \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} h = 0\right\} = \mathrm{Span}\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_1, \ldots, \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_s\right\}, \\ &\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathrm{Span}\left\{f_1, \ldots, f_l\right\}, \\ &\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Applying then Hahn-Banach theorem we construct linear continuous functionals

$$\{\alpha_j\}_1^s \text{ on } C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_j\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i\right) = \delta_i^j, \\ &\alpha_j\,(h\} = 0 \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

In the construction of linear continuous functionals $\{\beta_j\}_1^l$ from (67) we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4.

$$\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \cap cl\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\right\} = \{0\}, \tag{73}$$

where $cl\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\right\}$ *is the closure in* $C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ *of the image of* $\mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ *under* $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$.

Proof. Using (71) and (72) we redefine operator $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(u - \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i(u)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i(u) g_i.$$

Then the restriction of a new $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ coincides with the old one on $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and with identity on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$.

Therefore there exist bounded linear operators

$$\mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \to \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{I}, \\ &\mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}, \\ &\left|\mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right| \le C(k). \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left\{h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) : \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} h = 0\right\},$$

and consider actions of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. For any $h \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

Using (71) we represent $h \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ as

$$h = h_{\mathcal{P}} + h_{\mathcal{S}} + h_{\mathcal{N}}$$

with $h_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, $h_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $h_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, and obtain

$$\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h_{\mathcal{N}}) + h_{\mathcal{S}},$$

with

$$\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h_{\mathcal{N}}) \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

Since $\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ defines an isomorphism on $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{n_0}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, we conclude that $h_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and, therefore,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left(\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}. \tag{75}$$

From (75) we obtain that for $h \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}. \tag{76}$$

Then for any $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we will have

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h) \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \tag{77}$$

with

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h) \in \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

Applying $\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to both parts of (77) and using the last two inclusions we obtain for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)(h) \\ &= \mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) + \mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h). \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

From (76) we conclude that

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right] \\ &= \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h), \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

with

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \to C^{k-1}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right).$$

Denoting then

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{B}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

we rewrite (78) for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ as

$$h = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h). \tag{80}$$

To complete now the proof of the Lemma let us assume that there exists $\psi \neq 0$, such that $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, and a sequence $\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\phi_i\}_1^\infty \in C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_i \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0},\\ &\lim_{i\to\infty} \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\phi_i = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\psi \text{ in } C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of operator $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we conclude that sequence $\{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\phi_i)\}_1^\infty$ also converges to some $\gamma \in C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$.

Using inclusions $\phi_i \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\phi_i) \in C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ and representation (80) we obtain that $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\phi_i) \in \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and therefore

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\phi_i) \in \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

Using then closedness of $\mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we obtain that $\gamma \in \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\gamma = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\psi$. Condition $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\gamma - \psi) = 0$ implies $\gamma - \psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and therefore $\psi \in \mathcal{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, which contradicts inclusion $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. □

To conclude the proof of Proposition 4.1 we use condition (73), apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to $C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ and construct linear continuous functionals $\{\beta_i\}_1^l$ such that

$$\beta_i(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} f_j) = \delta_i^j, \text{ and } \beta_i\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} h\right) = 0 \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

Then operator $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ with $\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ from (67) will satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)$ for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, $(k = 2, \dots, p-4)$,

(ii) $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i) = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i$,

(iii) $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(f_i) = f_i$,

and will therefore define an isomorphism on $C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$.

Estimates (68) follow from the corresponding estimates for operators $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and from the boundedness of linear functionals $\{\alpha_i\}_1^s$ and $\{\beta_i\}_1^l$. □

The proposition below, which can be considered as an analogue of the Hodge-Kohn decomposition for $C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$, is proved using basically the same approach as in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $\mathbf{M}_0 \subset \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave submanifold of the class* C^p *and let* $\mathcal{B}$ *be a holomorphic vector bundle on* $\mathbf{G}$. *Then for fixed* $r < q$ *and* $1 < k \leq p-4$ *there exist a finite-dimensional linear operator*

$$\mathbf{K}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \to C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right),$$

and linear operators $\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right|_{k-1} &\leq C|h|_k,\\ \left|\mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right|_{k-1} &\leq C|h|_k,\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and equality

$$h = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) + \mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right) + \mathbf{K}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \tag{82}$$

is satisfied for any $h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$.

If for $h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ *there exists* $g \in C^k_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ *such that* $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g = h$, *then* $\mathbf{K}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1 we use Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 and obtain a more general decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left\{h \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \exists g \in C^k_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \text{ s. t. } \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g = h\right\} = \operatorname{Span}\left\{\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_1, \dots, \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_s\right\},\\
&\mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \operatorname{Span}\left\{v_1, \dots, v_t\right\},\\
&\mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left\{h \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h = 0\right\},\\
&\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \operatorname{Span}\left\{f_1, \dots, f_l\right\},\\
&\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0},\\
&C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0},
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

with $\{g_j\}_1^s \in C^k_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$.

Applying then Hahn-Banach theorem we construct linear continuous functionals

$$\left\{\alpha^{(k)}_j\right\}_1^s \text{ and } \left\{\gamma^{(k)}_j\right\}_1^l \text{ on } C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha^{(k)}_j\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right) = \delta^j_i,\ \gamma^{(k)}_j\left(v_i\right) = \delta^j_i,\\
&\alpha^{(k)}_j\left(h\right\} = 0 \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{N}^{k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0},\\
&\gamma^{(k)}_j\left(h\right\} = 0 \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Redefining as in Lemma 4.4 operator $\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ for a fixed $1 < k \leq p-4$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(u - \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha^{(k)}_i(u)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i - \sum_{i=1}^l \gamma^{(k)}_i(u)v_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha^{(k)}_i(u)g_i.$$

we obtain that the new $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ coincides with the old one on $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ for $1 < k \leq p-4$, is identity on $\mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, and is zero on $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. Thus operator $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ can be extended to an isomorphism $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ on $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ by setting it as identity on $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$.

Denoting

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \left\{h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) : \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h = 0\right\},$$

we obtain that $\mathbf{F}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ into itself, preserving $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$.

Therefore, there exists a bounded operator

$$\mathbf{T}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \to \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

preserving $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. Using then that for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \subset \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we have $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \in \mathcal{Z}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, we obtain as in (78) for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$

$$h = \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{F}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) = \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right) + \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right). \tag{85}$$

For $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we consider a representation of $f = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) \in C^{k-1}_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ as

$$f = f_{\mathcal{N}} + f_{\mathcal{S}} + f_{\mathcal{L}},$$

with $f_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, $f_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, and $f_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, and from (85) conclude that $g = \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right)$ also admits analogous representation

$$g = g_{\mathcal{N}} + g_{\mathcal{S}} + g_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

From the definition of operators $\mathbf{T}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we conclude that equality (85) holds after projecting all terms of this equality on $\mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$

$$h = f_{\mathcal{N}} + g_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right) + \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right),$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the projection on $\mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right)\right) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) &= \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right] \\ &= \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right] = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{C}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h),\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

with

$$\mathbf{C}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \to C^{k-1}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right).$$

Denoting then $\mathbf{D}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, we can rewrite (85) for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ as

$$h = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{C}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) + \mathbf{D}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right). \tag{87}$$

Using (87) as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we obtain

$$\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \cap \mathrm{cl}\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\right\} = \{0\}, \tag{88}$$

where $\mathrm{cl}\left\{\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\right\}$ is the closure in $C^{k-1}_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ of the image of $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ under $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. Equality (88) allows us to construct linear continuous functionals $\left\{\beta^{(k-1)}_i\right\}_1^l$ on $C^{k-1}_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$ such that

$$\beta^{(k-1)}_i(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}f_j) = \delta^j_i, \text{ and } \beta^{(k-1)}_i\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}h\right) = 0 \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}.$$

We consider then operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(u) &= \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(u - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^l \beta^{(k-1)}_i(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}u)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha^{(k)}_i(u)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i - \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma^{(k)}_i(u)v_i\right) \\ &\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha^{(k)}_i(u)g_i, \\ \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(v) &= \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(v - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^l \beta^{(k-1)}_i(v)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}f_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^l \beta^{(k-1)}_i(v)f_i, \\ \mathbf{K}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(u) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma^{(k)}_i(u)v_i\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

and operator

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} + \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0},$$

satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}^{(k)}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0},\ \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big|_{\mathcal{S}^{(k)}} = \mathbf{I},\ \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big|_{\mathcal{L}^{(k)}} = 0,\\ &\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}^{(k)}\oplus\mathcal{S}^{(k)}} = 0,\ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big|_{\mathcal{L}^{(k)}} = \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

From (90) we conclude that the restriction of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ is an isomorphism and in order to construct necessary operators $\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we have to modify operators $\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ only on $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$. Such a modification has been made already for operator $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ in (86) and (87). Namely, using (86), we obtain for $h \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h) &= \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right]\\ &= \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h)\right] = \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}(h), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) \to C^{k-1}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right).$$

Defining then $\mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{T}^{(k-1)}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ we obtain equality (82) with operators $\mathbf{Q}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ satisfying estimates (81). □

In order to prove existence of homotopy operators $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$ for a manifold $\mathbf{M}$ close enough to $\mathbf{M}_0$ we will make several assumptions on the local structure of such a manifold and its defining functions. We assume the existence of a finite cover $\{\mathcal{U}^\iota\}_1^N$ of some neighborhood of $\mathbf{M}_0$ in $\mathbf{G}$ such that in each $\mathcal{U}^\iota$ the manifold $\mathbf{M}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}^\iota$ has the form (1) with defining functions $\left\{\rho^{(0)}_{\iota,l}\right\}_{1\le l\le m}$. We also assume the existence of C^p-diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{M}_0 \to \mathbf{M}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(z) = z + f^\iota(z)$ in $U^\iota_0 = \mathcal{U}^\iota \cap \mathbf{M}_0$ with $f^\iota \in [C^p(U^\iota_0)]^n$.

For $\mathcal{F}$ close enough to identity or $|f|_p = \max_\iota\{|f_\iota|_p\}$ small enough the inverse map $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ has the form $\mathcal{G}(z) = z + g^\iota(z)$ with $g^\iota \in [C^p(V^\iota)]^n$ for some neighborhood $\mathcal{V}^\iota \subset \mathcal{F}(U^\iota)$ and $V^\iota = \mathcal{V}^\iota \cap \mathbf{M}$. The proposition below shows that the collection $\{\mathcal{V}^\iota \subset \mathcal{U}^\iota\}_1^N$ may be chosen so that it also covers some neighborhood of $\mathbf{M}_0$.

We denote by $\mathbb{B}(r)$ the ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of radius r centered at the origin and for a function $f : \mathbb{B}(r) \to \mathbb{C}$ we denote

$$|f|_{r,p} \equiv \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}(r), |J|\le p} \left|\partial^J f(z)\right|,$$

where $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_{2n})$ is a multiindex, $|J| = j_1 + \cdots + j_{2n}$, and $\partial^J \equiv \partial^{j_1}_{x_1}\cdots\partial^{j_{2n}}_{x_{2n}}$ with coordinates $\{x_j\}_1^{2n}$ such that $z_j = x_j + \sqrt{-1}x_{n+j}$. For a C^p-smooth vector function $f : \mathbb{B}(r) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and $k \le p$ we denote

$$|f|_{r,k} \equiv \sup_{1\le i\le n} |f_i|_{r,k}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *(cf.* [W2]*) Let $F_i(z) = z_i + f_i(z)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and let the functions $\{f_i\}_1^n \in C^p(\mathbb{B}(1))$ satisfy the estimate $|f_i|_{1,1} < \epsilon$.*

Then for small enough ϵ and fixed $s, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $0 \le s \le k$, $k + s \le p$ there exist a constant $C(k)$ and a set of functions

$$\{g_i\}_1^n \in C^p\left(\mathbb{B}(1 - 2\epsilon)\right)$$

such that $G(z) \equiv z + g(z) \in \mathbb{B}(1)$ for $z \in \mathbb{B}(1 - 2\epsilon)$,

$$F \circ G(z) = z \tag{91}$$

is satisfied on $\mathbb{B}(1-2\epsilon)$*, and*

$$|g_i|_{1-2\epsilon,k} \leq C(k)\cdot(1+|f|_{1,k})^{P(k)}\,|f|_{1,k}, \tag{92}$$
$$|g_i|_{1-2\epsilon,k+s} \leq C(k)\cdot(1+|f|_{1,k})^{P(k)}\,|f|_{1,k+s},$$

with polynomial $P(k)$*.*

Proof. Substituting formulas for F and G into (91) we obtain the equation

$$g(z)+f(z+g(z))=0 \tag{93}$$

for mapping g. To construct g satisfying (93) we consider the following sequence of mappings $g_0, g_1, \ldots$ defined inductively

$$\begin{aligned} &g_0(z)=0,\\ &g_{l+1}(z)=-f\left(z+g_l(z)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

For g_0 we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_0(z)+f(z+g_0(z))| = |f(z)| \leq \epsilon,\\ &|g_1(z)-g_0(z)| = |-f(z)| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming then the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_l(z)+f(z+g_l(z))| \leq (2n)^l\cdot\epsilon^{l+1},\\ &|g_{l+1}(z)-g_l(z)| \leq (2n)^l\cdot\epsilon^{l+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

for some l we prove them for $l+1$. For the first estimate using the mean value theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{l+1}(z)+f(z+g_{l+1}(z))| &= |-f(z+g_l(z))+f(z-f(z+g_l(z)))|\\ &= |-f(z+g_l(z))+f(z+g_l(z)-g_l(z)-f(z+g_l(z)))|\\ &\leq 2n\cdot|f|_{1,1}\cdot|g_l(z)+f(z+g_l(z))| \leq (2n)^{l+1}\epsilon^{l+2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $g_{l+2}-g_{l+1}$ using the first estimate for $l+1$ we obtain

$$|g_{l+2}(z)-g_{l+1}(z)| = |-f(z+g_{l+1}(z))-g_{l+1}(z)| \leq (2n)^{l+1}\epsilon^{l+2}.$$

From estimates (95) we obtain that for $\epsilon < (4n)^{-1}$

$$|g_l(z)| = |g_0(z)+(g_1(z)-g_0(z))+\cdots+(g_l(z)-g_{l-1}(z))\,| \leq \epsilon\cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2^l}\right) < 2\epsilon,$$

and therefore sequence (94) is well defined for $z\in\mathbb{B}(0,1-2\epsilon)$ and converges uniformly on $\mathbb{B}(0,1-2\epsilon)$ to a continuous mapping g satisfying (93).

To estimate $|g|_k$ and $|g|_{k+s}$ we consider variables $\{x_j\}_1^{2n}$ such that $z_j = x_j+\sqrt{-1}x_{n+j}$ and functions $\{y_j\}_1^{2n}$ and $\{u_j\}_1^{2n}$ such that $f_j(x) = y_j(x)+\sqrt{-1}y_{n+j}(x)$ and $g_j(x) = u_j(x)+\sqrt{-1}u_{n+j}(x)$. Then we differentiate (93) with respect to variables x_j and as in ([St], Appendix 1) obtain the following system of differential equations

$$\left[I+\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\left(x+u(x)\right)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x) = -\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}(x),$$

or

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x) = -\left[I+\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\left(x+u(x)\right)\right]^{-1}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}(x). \tag{96}$$

Successively differentiating (96) we obtain (92). □

Using proposition 4.6 we can assume now the existence of finite covers $\{\mathcal{V}^\iota\subset\mathcal{U}^\iota\}_1^N$ of some neighborhood of $\mathbf{M}_0$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and of C^p-diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{F}:\mathbf{M}_0\to\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}:\mathbf{M}\to\mathbf{M}_0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(z) = z+f^\iota(z)$ in $U_0^\iota = \mathcal{U}^\iota\cap\mathbf{M}_0$ with $f^\iota\in[C^p(U_0^\iota)]^n$ and $\mathcal{G}(z) = z+g^\iota(z)$ in

$V^\iota = \mathcal{V}^\iota \cap \mathbf{M}$ with $g^\iota \in [C^p(V^\iota)]^n$.

In what follows we will use special coordinates in $\{\mathcal{U}^\iota\}$. Namely, for a fixed neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ we choose coordinates $\{Z_j = X_j + iY_j\}_1^m$ and $\{W_j = U_j + iV_j\}_1^{n-m}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_l^{(0)}(z) = X_l - \phi_l^{(0)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, \dots, m, \\ &\rho_l(z) = \rho_l^{(0)}(z + g(z)) \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

are defining functions for $\mathbf{M}_0$ and $\mathbf{M}$ respectively.

For δ small enough according to implicit function theorem manifold $\mathbf{M}$ with $|g|_p < \delta$ can be defined as

$$\mathbf{M} = \{z \in \mathcal{U} : X_l - \phi_l(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

In the lemma below we prove necessary estimates for $\sup_l \left|\phi_l - \phi_l^{(0)}\right|_p$ in terms of $|g|_p$ for $|g|_p$ small enough.

Lemma 4.7. *(cf.* [W2]*) Let functions $\rho_l^{(0)}$ and ρ_l be defined in $\mathcal{U}$ by formulas (97). Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for g with $|g|_p < \delta$ and $k \le p - 1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\left|\phi_l - \phi_l^{(0)}\right|_k \le C(k)\left(1 + \left|\rho^{(0)}\right|_{k+1}\right)^{P(k)} \cdot |g|_k. \tag{98}$$

Proof. We consider for $z \in \mathbf{M}$ the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_l^{(0)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) - \phi_l(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) \\ &= \mathrm{Re} g_l(z) + \phi_l^{(0)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) \\ &-\phi_l^{(0)}(Y_1 + \mathrm{Im} g_1(z), \dots, Y_m + \mathrm{Im} g_m(z), W_1 + g_{m+1}(z), \dots, W_{n-m} + g_n(z)), \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

which is a corollary of equalities

$$X_l - \phi_l(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, W_1, \dots, W_{n-m}) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_l^{(0)}(z + g(z)) \\ &= X_l + \mathrm{Re} g_l(z) - \phi_l^{(0)}(Y_1 + \mathrm{Im} g_1(z), \dots, Y_m + \mathrm{Im} g_m(z), W_1 + g_{m+1}(z), \dots, W_{n-m} + g_n(z)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From equality (99) we obtain the estimate

$$\left|\phi_l - \phi_l^{(0)}\right|_0 \le C\left(|g|_0 + \left|\rho^{(0)}\right|_1 |g|_0\right). \tag{100}$$

To estimate the derivatives we differentiate with respect to Y, W equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_l^{(0)}(z + g(z)) \\ &= \rho_l^{(0)}\big(\phi_1(Y,W) + \mathrm{Re} g_1(\phi(Y,W), Y, W), \dots, \phi_m(Y,W) + \mathrm{Re} g_m(\phi(Y,W), Y, W), \\ &Y_1 + \mathrm{Im} g_1(\phi(Y,W), Y, W), \dots, Y_m + \mathrm{Im} g_m(\phi(Y,W), Y, W), \\ &W_1 + g_{m+1}(\phi(Y,W), Y, W), \dots, W_{n-m} + g_n(\phi(Y,W), Y, W)\big) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, \dots, m$. Then, using condition

$$\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{(0)}}{\partial X}\right] = I,$$

we obtain equality in a matrix form

$$\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial(Y,W)}\right] + \left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{Re} g'}{\partial X}\right]\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial(Y,W)}\right] + \left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{Re} g'}{\partial(Y,W)}\right]$$

$$+\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\left(z+g(z)\right)\right]\times\left(I+\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]+\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial X}\right]\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]\right)=0,$$

where $g'=(g_1,\dots,g_m)$ and $g''=(g_{m+1},\dots,g_n)$. We transform this equality into

$$\left(I+\left[\frac{\partial\mathrm{Re}g'}{\partial X}\right]+\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z+g(z))\right]\times\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial X}\right]\right)\times\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]$$
$$=-\left[\frac{\partial\mathrm{Re}g'}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z+g(z))\right]\times\left(I+\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]\right),$$

and then into

$$\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]=\left(I+\left[\frac{\partial\mathrm{Re}g'}{\partial X}\right]+\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z+g(z))\right]\times\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial X}\right]\right)^{-1}$$
$$\times\left(-\left[\frac{\partial\mathrm{Re}g'}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z+g(z))\right]\times\left(I+\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{Im}g',g''\right)}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]\right)\right).$$

Using equality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\rho^{(0)}(z+g(z))=\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\left[\rho^{(0)}(z)+\int_0^1\left\langle\nabla\rho^{(0)}\left(z+tg(z)\right),g(z)\right\rangle dt\right]$$

we can rewrite the previous equality for $|g|_{k+1}$ small enough as

$$\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]=(I+A)\left(-\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z)\right]+B\right),\tag{101}$$

with matrices A,B satisfying estimates

$$|A|_k\,,|B|_k\le C(k)\left(1+\left|\rho^{(0)}\right|_{k+2}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_{k+1}.\tag{102}$$

For $g\equiv 0$ (101) becomes

$$\left[\frac{\partial\phi^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]=-\left[\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}(z)\right],\tag{103}$$

and therefore we can rewrite (101) as

$$\left[\frac{\partial\phi^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]=E,$$

with a matrix E satisfying estimate (102). Using this representation and estimates (102) we obtain

$$\sup_l\left|\phi_l-\phi_l^{(0)}\right|_k=\left|\left[\frac{\partial\phi^{(0)}}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\left(Y,W\right)}\right]\right|_{k-1}$$
$$\le C(k)\left(1+\left|\rho^{(0)}\right|_{k+1}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_k.$$

□

We assume from now on that the neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}^\iota$ and functions $\phi_l^{(0)}$ are chosen so that conditions (97) are satisfied. We assume also that for small enough $\delta>0$ and a manifold $\mathbf{M}$ with $|g|_p<\delta$ condition (17) is satisfied on $\mathcal{U}^\iota$ for some fixed $c>0$.

As before our local extension operators $\{E^\iota\}$ for functions are defined by the formula

$$E^\iota(h)(z)=h\left(Y_1,\dots,Y_m,W_1,\dots,W_{n-m}\right),$$

and for a differential form

$$h = \sum_{I,J,K} h_{I,J,K} dY_I \wedge dW_J \wedge d\overline{W}_K$$

by extending coefficients as in the formula above.

A global extension operator for any $\mathbf{M}$ close to $\mathbf{M}_0$ we define by the formula

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) = \sum_{\iota} E^{\iota}\left(\vartheta_{\iota} h\right),$$

where h is a differential form on $\mathbf{M}$, $\{\vartheta_{\iota}\}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{\mathcal{V}^{\iota}\} \subset \{\mathcal{U}^{\iota}\}$ and $\{E_{\iota}\}$ are local extension operators.

Our next goal is to estimate the operator

$$\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}} - \mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ are extension operators from $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}_0$ respectively, $r < q$, and manifold $\mathbf{M}$ is close enough to $\mathbf{M}_0$. In the lemma below we prove a special representation for operator $\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta, \alpha > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_p < \delta$ the following representation holds*

$$\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{N}^r \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}} + \mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}},$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$ is the extension operator,

$$\mathbf{N}^r : C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{G}(\alpha), \mathcal{B}\right) \to C^p_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$$

is a finite-dimensional operator,

$$\mathbf{G}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\iota}\left\{z \in \mathcal{U}^{\iota} : \rho^{(0)}_{\iota}(z) < \alpha\right\},$$

and $\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}}$ admits an estimate

$$|\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_k \leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1 + |\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)} \tag{104}$$

for $k \leq p - 4$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.9 in formula (23) we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h)(z) = \sum_{\iota}\left[-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta'_{\iota}(z) \wedge R^{\iota}_r(\vartheta_{\iota} h)(z) + \vartheta'_{\iota}(z) R^{\iota}_{r+1}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\vartheta_{\iota} \wedge h)(z)\right]$$

and conclude that the statement of the lemma would follow from a finite-dimensional approximation of operators R_r with a remainder admitting estimate (104). We fix a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ from the cover $\{\mathcal{U}^{\iota}\}_1^N$ and consider an approximation of the local solution operator R_r by the operators

$$R^{(0)}_r(\alpha)(h)(z) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n}$$
$$\times \int_{U_0(\alpha)\times[0,1]} \vartheta(\zeta) E(h)(\zeta) \wedge \omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{|\zeta - z|^2} + t\frac{P(\zeta, z)}{\Phi(\zeta, z)}\right) \wedge \omega(\zeta).$$

From estimate (98) we obtain

$$|\rho_l - \rho^{(0)}_l|_k \leq C(k)\left(1 + |\rho^{(0)}_l|_{k+1}\right)|g|_k \tag{105}$$

for $l = 1, \ldots, m$. Therefore, for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists $\delta(\alpha) > 0$ such that for $|g|_p < \delta(\alpha)$ the following inclusions hold

$$\mathcal{U}(\alpha/2) \subset \mathcal{U}_0(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{U}(2\alpha).$$

Then

$$\omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z}}{\mid \zeta - z\mid^2}+t\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right)\wedge\omega(\zeta)\in C^p_{\{(0,r-1),(n,n-r-1)\}}\left(\mathcal{U}_z(\alpha/2)\times U_{0,\zeta}(\alpha)\times[0,1]\right)$$

for such g and therefore for any $\epsilon>0$ we can find differential forms

$$h_j\in C^p_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathcal{U}_z(\alpha/2)\right),\ N_j\in C^p_{(n,n-r-1)}\left(U_{0,\zeta}(\alpha)\times[0,1]\right),$$

such that

$$\left|\omega'_{r-1}\left((1-t)\frac{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{z}}{\mid \zeta - z\mid^2}+t\frac{P(\zeta,z)}{\Phi(\zeta,z)}\right)\wedge\omega(\zeta)-\sum_{j=1}^{l}N_j(\zeta,t)h_j(z)\right|_{C^p\left(\mathcal{U}_z(\alpha/2)\times U_{0,\zeta}(\alpha)\times[0,1]\right)}<\epsilon.$$

Therefore, for any $\alpha>0$ there exists a finite-dimensional operator

$$N^r(u)(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{l}h_j(z)\int_{U_0(\alpha)\times[0,1]}u(\zeta)N_j(\zeta,t),$$

such that for any $u\in C^k_{(0,r-1)}\left(\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\right)$ with $|u|_k<1$ we have

$$\left|R^{(0)}_r(\alpha)(u)-N^r(u)\right|_k\leq C\epsilon. \tag{106}$$

To estimate the C^k norm of the operator $R^{(0)}_r(\alpha)-R_r$ we use representation (27) and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 and reduce the problem to the C^0-estimate of integrals

$$\int_{U_0(\alpha)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt-\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt \tag{107}$$

with $\|c(\zeta,z,t)\|_1\leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}$ and $\beta<\alpha/2$.

As in the proof of Proposition 2.1 we use Lemma 3.7 to conclude that it suffices to consider only integrals with kernels $\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}$ satisfying (51), since otherwise estimate (57) holds, and therefore for α small enough the estimate (104) will also hold.

To estimate (107) we consider a function $\phi(z,\zeta)\in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}_z\times\mathcal{U}_\zeta)$, such that in coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}&z_j=X_j+\sqrt{-1}Y_j\ (j=1,\dots,m), z_j=W_{j-m}\ (j=m+1,\dots,n),\\&\zeta_j=\xi_j+\sqrt{-1}\eta_j\ (j=1,\dots,m), \zeta_j=\omega_{j-m}\ (j=m+1,\dots,n),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\phi(z,\zeta)=\phi\left(Y_1,\dots,Y_m,W_1,\dots,W_{n-m},\eta_1,\dots,\eta_m,\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n-m}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(z,\zeta)\equiv 1\ \text{ for }\ \zeta\in\mathcal{W}(z,\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha}),\\&\phi(z,\zeta)\equiv 0\ \text{ for }\ \zeta\notin\mathcal{W}(z,C\alpha,\sqrt{C\alpha})\ \text{ with some }\ C>1.\end{aligned}$$

Then we represent for $\beta<\alpha/2$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt \qquad (108)\\&=\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)\phi(z,\zeta)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\\&+\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the right hand side of (108) we obtain as in (54)

$$\left|\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)\phi(z,\zeta)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\right|_0$$

$$\leq \int_{U(\beta)\cap\mathcal{W}(z,C\alpha,\sqrt{C\alpha})\times[0,1]} |h(\zeta,z,t)||c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)||\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)|dt$$
$$\leq C\|c_{\mathbf{M}}\|_0|h|_0\alpha^{l(\mathcal{K})}\cdot\mathcal{I}_1\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),h(\mathcal{K})\right\}(\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha}) \leq C(k)\alpha^{1/2}\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_0.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (108) using the fact that by construction form $E(h)$ and function $\phi(z,\zeta)$ do not depend on variables $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_m$ and that form $E(h)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}$ contains differentials $d\eta_1,\dots,d\eta_m,d\omega_1,\dots,d\omega_{n-m},d\bar\omega_1,\dots,d\bar\omega_{n-m}$ we apply Stokes' formula and obtain

$$\int_{U_0(\alpha)\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt \tag{109}$$
$$-\int_{U(\beta)\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt$$
$$=\int_{[\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\setminus\mathcal{U}(\beta)]\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)d\left[c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\right]$$
$$=\int_{[\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\setminus\mathcal{U}(\beta)]\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)d\left[c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\right]\wedge\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt$$
$$+\int_{[\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\setminus\mathcal{U}(\beta)]\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)d\left[\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)\right]dt.$$

For the first term of the right hand side of (109) using estimates for $c_{\mathbf{M}}$ and integrating in coordinates

$$\rho=\sqrt{\sum_1^m\rho_j^2},\ \theta_j=\frac{\rho_j}{\rho}\ (j=1,\dots,m),\ \mathrm{Im}F_j,\ (j=1,\dots,m),\ \omega_l\ (l=1,\dots,n-m),$$

we have

$$\left|\int_{[\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\setminus\mathcal{U}(\beta)]\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)d\left[c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\right]\wedge\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)dt\right|$$
$$\leq\int_{\left(\mathcal{U}(2\alpha)\setminus\left[\mathcal{U}(\beta)\cup\mathcal{W}(z,\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha})\right]\right)\times[0,1]}|E(h)(\zeta)|\left|\partial_\rho\left[c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)\right]\wedge\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)\right|dt$$
$$\leq C(k)\cdot\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}\cdot|h|_0\left[\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),b-l(\mathcal{K})\right\}(\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha})\right]\int_0^{2\alpha}d\rho$$
$$\leq C(k)\alpha^{1/2}\cdot\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}\cdot|h|_0,$$

where

$$\partial_\rho f=d\rho\wedge\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho},$$

and in the last inequality we used Lemma 3.5 for kernel $\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}$, satisfying conditions (51).

Again using estimates for $c_{\mathbf{M}}$ we obtain for the second term of the right hand side of (109)

$$\left|\int_{[\mathcal{U}_0(\alpha)\setminus\mathcal{U}(\beta)]\times[0,1]} E(h)(\zeta)\left(1-\phi(z,\zeta)\right)c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)d\left[\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)\right]dt\right|$$
$$\leq\int_{\left(\mathcal{U}(2\alpha)\setminus\left[\mathcal{U}(\beta)\cup\mathcal{W}(z,\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha})\right]\right)\times[0,1]}|E(h)(\zeta)|\left|c_{\mathbf{M}}(\zeta,z,t)d\left[\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)\right]\right|dt$$
$$\leq C(k)\cdot\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}\cdot|h|_0$$
$$\times\left[\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K})+1,b-l(\mathcal{K})\right\}(\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha})+\mathcal{I}_2\left\{k(\mathcal{K}),b-l(\mathcal{K})+1\right\}(\alpha,\sqrt{\alpha})\right]\int_0^{2\alpha}d\rho$$
$$\leq C(k)\cdot\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}\alpha^{1/2}\cdot|h|_0,$$

where the indices in integrals $\mathcal{I}_2$ are the indices that appear after differentiation of the kernel $\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}$, namely, either $k(\mathcal{K})$ or b increase by one.

In the last inequality we used inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &k(\mathcal{K})+1+b-l(\mathcal{K}) \leq 2n-m,\\ &k(\mathcal{K})+1+2\left(b-l(\mathcal{K})\right) \leq 2n-m+2,\\ &k(\mathcal{K})+2\left(b-l(\mathcal{K})+1\right) \leq 2n-m+2, \end{aligned}$$

which follow from inequalities (51) for $\mathcal{K}^S_{\mathbf{M},a,b}(\zeta,z)$ and Lemma 3.5.

Combining the estimates above we obtain that for any fixed $\alpha>0$ there exists $\delta(\alpha)>0$ such that for any submanifold $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_p<\delta(\alpha)$ we have

$$\left|R^{(0)}_r(\alpha)-R_r\right|_k \leq C(k)\cdot\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}\alpha^{1/2},$$

and combining this estimate with estimate (106) we obtain the statement of the Lemma. □

Using Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 we obtain the following

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\rho^{(0)}_l, \rho_l$ and g satisfy conditions (97).Then for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for an arbitrary compact, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_p<\delta$ and $k\leq p-4$ the following estimate holds*

$$|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}-\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}|_k \leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}. \tag{110}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.8 we obtain equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)-\mathbf{H}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) \qquad (111)\\ &=\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\left(\mathbf{N}^r\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}+\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)-\mathbf{N}^r\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)-\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\\ &=\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{N}^r\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}-\mathbf{N}^r\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)(h)+\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)(h)\\ &=\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}-\mathbf{I}\right)\circ\mathbf{N}^r\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)+\mathbf{N}^r\circ\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}-\mathbf{I}\right)\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\\ &\quad+\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{L}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)(h). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the right hand side of (111) we reduce the estimate to the estimate of the operator $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}-\mathbf{I}$ and then further reduce it to the estimate of $E^{\iota}_{\mathbf{M}_0}-I$ for a local extension operator $E^{\iota}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ on $C^k_{(0,0)}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\iota},\mathcal{B}\right)$.

For any $f\in C^p_{(0,0)}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\iota},\mathcal{B}\right)$ using Lemma 4.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|E^{\iota}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(f)|_{\mathbf{M}}-f|_{\mathbf{M}}\right|_k &= \left|f\left(\phi^{(0)}(Y,W),Y,W\right)-f\left(\phi(Y,W),Y,W\right)\right|_k \qquad (112)\\ &\leq C(k)|g^{\iota}|_k\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+1}\right)^{P(k)}|f|_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimate to

$$f(z)=N^{\iota}(E(h))(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{l}h_j(z)\int_{U_0(\alpha)\times[0,1]}E(h)(\zeta)N_j(\zeta,t)$$

and using estimate

$$|N^{\iota}(E(h))|_k \leq C(k)|h|_0 \tag{113}$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\left|E^{\iota}_{\mathbf{M}_0}(f)|_{\mathbf{M}}-f|_{\mathbf{M}}\right|_k \leq C(k)|g^{\iota}|_k\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+1}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_0.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (111) we apply estimates (112) and (113) in opposite order and obtain for $u = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|N^{\iota}\left(E^{\iota}(u)-u\right)\right|_{k} &\leq C(k)\left|E^{\iota}(u)-u\right|_{0}\\ &\leq C(k)|g^{\iota}|_0\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_1\right)^{P}|h|_1.\end{aligned}$$

Necessary estimate for the third term of the right hand side of (111) follows from estimate (104) in Lemma 4.8. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1. We consider a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold $\mathbf{M}_0$ such that $\dim H^r\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right) = 0$ for some fixed $r < q$. We assume that a compact, regular q-pseudoconcave CR submanifold $\mathbf{M}$ is close to $\mathbf{M}_0$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_p$ small enough so that functions $\rho_l^{(0)}, \rho_l$ and g satisfy (97).

Abusing notation we will denote also by $\{g_j\}_1^s \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r-1)}(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\{f_j\}_1^l \in C^{p-4}_{(0,r)}(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{B})$ extensions of the corresponding forms from Proposition 4.1.

We define for $h \in C^k_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$, $v \in C^k_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$

$$\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) = \mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}\left(h - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)g_i,$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}(v) = \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(v - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(v)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}f_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(v)\right)f_i,$$

and consider the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right) + \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\right] - \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \mathbf{P}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h) \qquad (114)\\
&= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg[\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\Bigg)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)g_i\Bigg]\\
&\qquad + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}f_i\Bigg) + \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg)\\
&\quad - \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg[\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(h - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\Bigg) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)g_i\Bigg]\\
&\qquad - \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}f_i\Bigg) - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h)\right)f_i\\
&= \Big\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Big[\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\Big] - \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h\right)\Big\}\\
&\quad + \Bigg\{\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg)\Bigg)\\
&\quad - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg[\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^r_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg) + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg)\Bigg)\Bigg]\Bigg\}\\
&\qquad + \mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h)\right)f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\left\{\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{R}^{r}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right)+\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right)\right.\\
\left.-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{R}^{r}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)+\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right]\right\}\\
-\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right)\right]\\
+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h\right)\right)f_i\\
+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right)\\
=\left\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\right]-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)(h)\right\}\\
-\left\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\right)\right]-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\right)\right\}\\
-\left\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right]-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)\right)\right\}\\
+\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h)-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}f_i\right)\\
+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}h\right)\right)f_i\\
-\mathbf{R}^{r+1}_{\mathbf{M}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right)\right]\\
+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right).
\end{gathered}
$$

For the first term of the right hand side of equality above using equality

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)=h, \tag{115}$$

and applying estimate (110) from Proposition 4.9 we obtain that for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_k<\delta$ the following estimate holds

$$\left|\left\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\right]-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)(h)\right\}\right|_k\leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_k.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (114) using equality

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}f_i=\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}f_i=f_i, \tag{116}$$

estimate (110) and boundedness of functionals $\{\beta_i\}_1^l$ on $C^1_{(0,r+1)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0,\mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$, we obtain that for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_k<\delta$ the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\right)\right]-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)f_i\right)\right|_k\\
\leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_2.
\end{gathered}
$$

For the third term of the right hand side of (114) using equality

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)=\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i, \tag{117}$$

estimate (110) and boundedness of functionals $\{\alpha_i\}_1^s$ on $C^1_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right)$, we obtain that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_k < \delta$ the following estimate holds

$$\left|\left\{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}_0})\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i\right)\right] - (\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{M}})\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i\right)\right)\right\}\right|_k$$

$$\leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+4}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_1.$$

For the next two terms we use the definition of $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ as

$$\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}}$ is the operator of restriction onto $\mathbf{M}$. Then we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} h\right) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)$$

and

$$\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h).$$

Using local representation

$$f = \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) = \sum_{I,J,K,L} f_{I,J,K}(X,Y,W)dX^I \wedge dY^J \wedge d\overline{W}^K$$

we obtain locally

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) &= \textstyle\sum_{I,J,K,L} f_{I,J,K}(\phi(Y,W),Y,W)[d\phi(Y,W)]^I \wedge dY^J \wedge d\overline{W}^K,\\ \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \bar\partial \circ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) &= \textstyle\sum_{I,J,K,L} f_{I,J,K}(\phi^{(0)}(Y,W),Y,W)[d\phi^{(0)}(Y,W)]^I \wedge dY^J \wedge d\overline{W}^K,\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

where $\{\phi_j\}_1^m$ and $\left\{\phi_j^{(0)}\right\}_1^m$ are defining functions of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}_0$ respectively.

Using then estimate (98) from Lemma 4.7 for expressions in (118) we obtain the estimate

$$\left|\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} h\right)\right|_1$$

$$\leq C|h|_3|\phi-\phi^{(0)}|_2 \leq C|g|_2\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_3\right)^P|h|_3.$$

Using the last estimate and the estimate

$$\sup_{i=1}^l |\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} f_i|_k < C$$

for $k \leq p-5$ we obtain the existence for $k \leq p-5$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ of a $\delta > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_k < \delta$ the following estimate holds

$$\left|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} h) - \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} f_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} h\right)\right) f_i\right|_k$$

$$\leq C\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_3\right)^P|h|_3.$$

To estimate the last two terms of the right hand side of (114) we again use the definition of $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ and representations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}} g_i &= \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \bar\partial g_i\\ &= \sum_{I,J,K,L} f_{I,J,K}(\phi(Y,W),Y,W)[d\phi(Y,W)]^I \wedge dY^J \wedge d\overline{W}^K \in C^{p-5}_{(0,r)}\left(\mathbf{M}_0, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0} g_i\right) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}} \circ \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{M}_0} \circ \bar\partial g_i = \sum_{I,J,K,L} f_{I,J,K}(\phi^{(0)}(Y,W),Y,W)[d\phi^{(0)}(Y,W)]^I \wedge dY^J \wedge d\overline{W}^K.$$

Using then estimate (98) from Lemma 4.7 and estimates

$$\sup_{i=1}^{s}|g_i|_k \leq C(k) \text{ for } k \leq p-4,$$

$$|\phi|_k \leq C(k)\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+1}\right) \text{ for } |g|_k \text{ small enough,}$$

we obtain for $k \leq p-6$ the estimate

$$\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right|_k \leq C(k)|g_i|_{k+2}\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+2}\right)^{P(k)}|g|_{k+1}.$$

Using the last estimate we obtain the existence for $k \leq p-7$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$ of a $\delta > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_{k+2} < \delta$ the following estimate holds

$$\left|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right)\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\left(\mathbf{E}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}g_i\right)-\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g_i\right)\right|_k$$

$$\leq C(k)\epsilon\left(1+|\rho^{(0)}|_{k+3}\right)^{P(k)}|h|_1.$$

Let now $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ be the inverse to $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r+1}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}$, which exists according to Proposition 4.1 and let $B = \sup_{k=1,\dots,p-7}|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}_0}|_k$. Combining all the estimates above we obtain that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{M}$ with $|\mathcal{E}|_p < \delta$ the following estimate holds for any $h \in C_{(0,r)}^{k}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$, $(k \leq p-7)$:

$$\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)+\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r+1}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\right]-\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}(h)-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}h)\right|_k < \frac{B^{-1}}{4}\cdot|h|_k.$$

Applying then operator $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$ to the form

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\left[\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)+\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}_0}^{r+1}\left(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}_0}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}(h)\right)\right]-\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}(h)-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}h)$$

and using equalities

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\circ\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{I},$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\circ\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_0} = \mathbf{I},$$

we obtain the following estimate

$$|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}}|_k < \frac{1}{4}. \tag{119}$$

From the estimate above we obtain the existence of an inverse operator $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}\circ\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{I},$$

$$|\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}|_k \leq \tfrac{4}{3}\left|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}_0}\right|_k.$$

Therefore for any $h \in C_{(0,r)}^{k}\left(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{B}|_{\mathbf{M}}\right)$ we have

$$h = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}(g)+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g)$$

$$= \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}(g)+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g)$$

$$= \bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}(g)+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}(\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}g),$$

with $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{r+1}$. □

References

[AiH] R.A. Airapetian, G.M. Henkin, Integral representation of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR functions, I, II, Russ. Math. Surv., 39 (1984), 41-118, Math.USSR Sbornik 55:1 (1986), 91-111.

[BT] M.S. Baouendi, F. Treves, A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields, Ann. of Math. 113 (1981), 341-421.

[BGG] R. Beals, B. Gaveau, P.C. Greiner, The Green function of model step two hypoelliptic operators and the analysis of certain Cauchy-Riemann complexes, Adv.Math.121(1996), no.2, 288-345.

[Bo] A. Boggess, CR Manifolds and the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Complex, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1991.

[CS] S.-C. Chen, M.-C. Shaw, Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, v.19, AMS/IP, 2001.

[FS] G.B. Folland, E.M. Stein, Estimates for the $\bar\partial_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 429-522.

[Ha] R.S. Hamilton, The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. 7:1 (1982), 65-222.

[H1] G.M. Henkin, The Hans Lewy equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds, Math.USSR Sbornik 31 (1977), 59-130.

[H2] G.M. Henkin, Solution des equations de Cauchy-Riemann tangentielle sur les varietes de Cauchy-Riemann q-concaves, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 292 (1981), 27-30.

[H3] G.M. Henkin, The Method of Integral Representations in Complex Analysis, in Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, volume 7, Several Complex Variables I, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[J] H. Jacobowitz, An Introduction to CR Structures, Math. Surveys and Monographs 32, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island.

[K] J.J. Kohn, Boundaries of complex manifolds, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis 1964, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, Springer Verlag, 1965, 81-94.

[KR] J.J. Kohn, H. Rossi, On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 451-472.

[Kr] S. Krantz, Lipschitz spaces, smoothness of functions, and approximation theory, Expositiones Mathematicae, 3 (1983), 193-260.

[Ku] M. Kuranishi, Application of $\bar\partial_b$ to deformation of isolated singularities, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 30:1 (1977), 97-103.

[LP] J.-L. Lions, J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math., 19 (1964), 5-68.

[Na] I. Naruki, Localization principles for differential complexes and its applications, Publ. Res. Inst. Math., Kyoto, ser. A, 8:1 (1972), 43-110.

[P1] P. Polyakov, Sharp estimates for operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ on a q-concave CR manifold, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 6:2 (1996), 233-276.

[P2] P. Polyakov, Sharp Lipschitz estimates for operator $\bar\partial_{\mathbf{M}}$ on a q-concave CR manifold, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 12:1 (2002), 103-146.

[P3] P. Polyakov, Versal embeddings of compact, regular, 3-pseudoconcave CR submanifolds, Preprint, http://xxx.lanl.gov/math.CV/0109181.

[S] E.M. Stein, Singular integrals and estimates for the Cauchy-Riemann equations, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. 79:2 (1973), 440-445.

[St] S. Sternberg, Lectures on differential geometry, Chelsea, N.Y., 1983.

[T] F. Treves, Homotopy formulas in the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex, Memoirs of the AMS,Providence, Rhode Island, 1990.

[W1] S. Webster, On the local solution of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations, Ann.Inst.Henri Poincare, 6:3, (1989),167-182.

[W2] S. Webster, On the proof of Kuranishi's embedding theorem, Ann.Inst.Henri Poincare, 6:3, (1989), 183-207.

[Y] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Department of Mathematics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071
E-mail address: `polyakov@uwyo.edu`